

Nonperturbative correlation functions from homotopy algebras

Keisuke Konosu and Yuji Okawa

Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, The University of Tokyo
3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan
konosu-keisuke@g.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp, okawa@g.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Abstract

The formula for correlation functions based on quantum A_∞ algebras in arXiv:2203.05366, arXiv:2305.11634, and arXiv:2305.13103 requires dividing the action into the free part and the interaction part. We present a new form of the formula which does not involve such division. The new formula requires choosing a solution to the equations of motion which does not have to be real, and we claim that the formula gives correlation functions evaluated on the Lefschetz thimble associated with the solution we chose. Our formula correctly reproduces correlation functions in perturbation theory, but it can be valid nonperturbatively, and we present numerical evidence for scalar field theories in zero dimensions both in the Euclidean case and the Lorentzian case that correlation functions for finite coupling constants can be reproduced. When the theory consists of a single Lefschetz thimble, our formula gives correlation functions of the theory by choosing the solution corresponding to the thimble. When the theory consists of multiple Lefschetz thimbles, we need to evaluate the ratios of the partition functions for those thimbles and we describe a method of such evaluations based on quantum A_∞ algebras in a forthcoming paper.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Scalar field theories in zero dimensions	3
2.1	The Euclidean case	11
2.2	The Lorentzian case	14
3	New formula for correlation functions	16
3.1	Perturbation theory around nontrivial solutions	17
3.2	Transforming the formula	23
3.3	New form of the formula and its interpretation	26
4	Evidence for the claim	28
4.1	Airy function	28
4.1.1	The case where $a > 0$	29
4.1.2	The case where $a < 0$	32
4.2	The double-well potential	35
5	Conclusions and discussion	39

1 Introduction

String theory has provided us with clues to quantum gravity. When we explore quantum aspects of string theory such as mass renormalization and vacuum shift, we need to go beyond the world-sheet perturbation theory based on the integration of on-shell vertex operators over the moduli space of Riemann surfaces. String field theory provides such a framework [1]. String field theory may also be useful when we attempt to construct a framework where we can prove the AdS/CFT correspondence. For example, one possible scenario was described in [2] where it was proposed to evaluate correlation functions of gauge-invariant operators for open superstring field theory in the $1/N$ expansion for that purpose. While string field theory in the classical theory has been useful in describing nonperturbative physics such as tachyon condensation, we need to study quantum aspects of string field theory when we explore these problems.

String field theory is a space-time field theory involving infinitely many fields, and conceptually it is the same as ordinary field theory to some extent. However, string field theory is highly complicated compared to ordinary field theory, and we need efficient tools to study string field theory. First of all, constructing a gauge-invariant action of string field theory can be difficult, and homotopy algebras such as A_∞ algebras [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8] and L_∞ algebras [9, 10]

have played an important role in the construction of gauge-invariant actions. Recently we have come to recognize that homotopy algebras can also be useful in studying quantum aspects of string field theory. An important point is that the effective action which we obtain when we integrate out part of the degrees of freedom inherits the structure of the homotopy algebra such as the A_∞ structure or the L_∞ structure of the theory before integrating out the degrees of freedom. Homotopy algebras are thus very useful in describing the structure of the effective action [11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. Furthermore, the description of the effective action in terms of homotopy algebras is universal. Since the actions of superstring field theory are quite complicated, we should develop the description in terms of homotopy algebras in simpler theories before we use it to study quantum aspects of superstring field theory. With this motivation, we are currently developing technologies of homotopy algebras using simpler quantum field theories.

As we mentioned before, homotopy algebras are useful for describing the effective theory when we integrate out part of the degrees of freedom. When we consider correlation functions in quantum field theory, we perform the path integral completely, and this corresponds to integrating out all the degrees of freedom. This point of view has led us to find a formula for correlation functions in terms of quantum A_∞ algebras. A formula for correlation functions for scalar field theories based on A_∞ algebras was proposed in [16], and it was refined to a form which is analogous to string field theory in [17, 18] and extended to incorporate Dirac fields.¹ The formula is compactly written as

$$\langle \Phi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \pi_n \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m} + i\hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}} \mathbf{1}. \quad (1.1)$$

We will explain the ingredients of this formula later, but it involves an inverse of $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m} + i\hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}$ which is a linear operator acting on a vector space. It was shown in perturbation theory that correlation functions based on this formula satisfy the Schwinger-Dyson equations when the inverse of $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m} + i\hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}$ is defined by

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m} + i\hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}} = \mathbf{I} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n (\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m} + i\hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U})^n. \quad (1.2)$$

It is possible, however, that the inverse of the operator $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m} + i\hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}$ exists for finite coupling constants, and in that case our formula may be regarded as a nonperturbative definition of correlation functions for finite coupling constants. In this paper we present evidence that this is indeed the case for scalar field theories in zero dimensions.

Even if the inverse of the operator $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m} + i\hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}$ exists, one unsatisfactory aspect of our formula as a nonperturbative definition of correlation functions is that the construction necessarily involves dividing the action into the free part and the interactions. In this paper

¹The description of global symmetries and their anomalies based on this formula was developed recently in [19].

we present a new form of the formula which does not involve such division. The new formula turns out to require choosing a solution to the equations of motion which does not have to be real, and we claim that the formula gives correlation functions evaluated on the Lefschetz thimble associated with the solution we chose [20]. When the theory consists of a single Lefschetz thimble, our formula gives correlation functions of the theory by choosing the solution corresponding to the thimble. When the theory consists of multiple Lefschetz thimbles, we need to evaluate the ratios of the partition functions for those thimbles, and we describe a method of such evaluations based on quantum A_∞ algebras in a forthcoming paper [21].

2 Scalar field theories in zero dimensions

In this section, we consider scalar field theories in zero dimensions and we present evidence that our formula describes nonperturbative correlation functions.² We consider both the Euclidean case and the Lorentzian case.

In the Euclidean case, we consider the action S given by

$$S = \frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^2 + \frac{1}{3} g \varphi^3 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda \varphi^4, \quad (2.1)$$

where φ is the zero-dimensional scalar field, and m , g , and λ are real constants. In the path integral formalism, the partition function Z is given by

$$Z = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\varphi e^{-\frac{S}{\hbar}}, \quad (2.2)$$

and the correlation functions $\langle \varphi^n \rangle$ are given by

$$\langle \varphi^n \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\varphi \varphi^n e^{-\frac{S}{\hbar}}. \quad (2.3)$$

In the Lorentzian case, the action corresponding to (2.1) differs by a sign and is given by

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^2 - \frac{1}{3} g \varphi^3 - \frac{1}{4} \lambda \varphi^4. \quad (2.4)$$

The partition function Z is defined by

$$Z = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\varphi e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_\epsilon} \quad (2.5)$$

with

$$S_\epsilon = -\frac{1}{2} (m^2 - i\epsilon) \varphi^2 - \frac{1}{3} g \varphi^3 - \frac{1}{4} \lambda \varphi^4, \quad (2.6)$$

²We follow the conventions in [17, 18] for the description of scalar field theories in terms of A_∞ algebras. See [17, 18] for further details.

where the constant ϵ is real and positive. Correlation functions $\langle \varphi^n \rangle$ are similarly defined by

$$\langle \varphi^n \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\varphi \varphi^n e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_\epsilon}. \quad (2.7)$$

As we will see, both the Euclidean case and the Lorentzian case are described in almost the same way in terms of quantum A_∞ algebras.

In the description in terms of quantum A_∞ algebras, degrees of freedom are described by a vector space which we call \mathcal{H} . When the theory does not have gauge symmetries, the vector space \mathcal{H} consists of two vector spaces \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 :

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2. \quad (2.8)$$

In the Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism [22, 23, 24], \mathcal{H}_1 is for fields and \mathcal{H}_2 is for antifields. The action is described by Φ in \mathcal{H}_1 . In the case of scalar field theories in zero dimensions, the vector space \mathcal{H}_1 is a one-dimensional vector space, and we denote its single basis vector by c . We expand Φ in \mathcal{H}_1 as

$$\Phi = \varphi c, \quad (2.9)$$

where we take the coefficient φ to be real and we identify φ with the scalar field that appears in the action. The vector space \mathcal{H}_2 is also a one-dimensional vector space, and we denote its single basis vector by d . The vector space \mathcal{H} is graded by \mathbb{Z}_2 degree. The basis vector c of \mathcal{H}_1 is degree even, and the basis vector d of \mathcal{H}_2 is degree odd. We define the symplectic form ω which is a map from $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ to a complex number. In the case of scalar field theories in zero dimensions, we define ω by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega(c, c) & \omega(c, d) \\ \omega(d, c) & \omega(d, d) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.10)$$

The action described by an A_∞ algebra takes a universal form. In the Euclidean case, the action S in terms of Φ in \mathcal{H}_1 is given by

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \omega(\Phi, Q\Phi) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \omega(\Phi, m_n(\Phi \otimes \dots \otimes \Phi)). \quad (2.11)$$

In the Lorentzian case, the action S is written as

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \omega(\Phi, Q\Phi) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \omega(\Phi, m_n(\Phi \otimes \dots \otimes \Phi)). \quad (2.12)$$

The kinetic term is described by Q which is a linear map from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{H} , and the cubic interactions are described by m_2 which is a linear map from $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ to \mathcal{H} . Similarly, the interactions involving $n+1$ fields are described by m_n which is a linear from $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ to \mathcal{H} , where

$$\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} = \underbrace{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}}_n. \quad (2.13)$$

To reproduce the action (2.1) in the Euclidean case or the action (2.4) in the Lorentzian case, we define Q by

$$Qc = m^2 d, \quad Qd = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

We define the action of m_2 on $c \otimes c$ to be

$$m_2(c \otimes c) = g d. \quad (2.15)$$

The operator m_2 annihilates any element which involves d :

$$m_2(c \otimes d) = 0, \quad m_2(d \otimes c) = 0, \quad m_2(d \otimes d) = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Similarly, we define m_3 to give a nonvanishing element only when it acts on $c \otimes c \otimes c$ and is given by

$$m_3(c \otimes c \otimes c) = \lambda d. \quad (2.17)$$

Since the action is quartic, we take m_n to vanish for $n > 3$. Now it is easy to see that the action (2.1) in the Euclidean case and the action (2.4) in the Lorentzian case are reproduced by (2.11) and (2.12), respectively.

To describe A_∞ algebras, it is convenient to use the coalgebra representation.³ In the coalgebra representation, we consider linear operators acting on the vector space $T\mathcal{H}$ defined by

$$T\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{\otimes 0} \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{\otimes 3} \oplus \dots, \quad (2.18)$$

where we introduced the vector space $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 0}$ which is a one-dimensional vector space given by multiplying a single basis vector $\mathbf{1}$ by complex numbers. The basis vector $\mathbf{1}$ satisfies

$$\mathbf{1} \otimes \Phi = \Phi, \quad \Phi \otimes \mathbf{1} = \Phi \quad (2.19)$$

for any Φ in \mathcal{H} . We denote the projection operator onto $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ by π_n .

For a map D_n from $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ to \mathcal{H} with $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we define an associated operator \mathbf{D}_n acting on $T\mathcal{H}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_n \pi_m &= 0 \quad \text{for } m < n, \\ \mathbf{D}_n \pi_n &= D_n \pi_n, \\ \mathbf{D}_n \pi_{n+1} &= (D_n \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes D_n) \pi_{n+1}, \\ \mathbf{D}_n \pi_{n+2} &= (D_n \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes D_n \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes D_n) \pi_{n+2}, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

³The coalgebra representation of A_∞ algebras is explained in detail, for example, in appendix A of [25] and in [15].

Here and in what follows we denote the identity operator on \mathcal{H} by \mathbb{I} . An operator acting on $T\mathcal{H}$ of this form is called a *coderivation*. In this paper, we frequently introduce a coderivation Φ associated with Φ in \mathcal{H} . It is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi \mathbf{1} &= \Phi, \\ \Phi \pi_1 &= (\Phi \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes \Phi) \pi_1, \\ \Phi \pi_2 &= (\Phi \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes \Phi \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \Phi) \pi_2, \\ &\vdots\end{aligned}\tag{2.21}$$

We define the coderivation \mathbf{Q} associated with Q and the coderivation \mathbf{m}_n associated with m_n for each n . We then define \mathbf{m} by

$$\mathbf{m} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathbf{m}_n,\tag{2.22}$$

and we define \mathbf{M} by

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{m}.\tag{2.23}$$

When we consider gauge theories, the action described by the coderivation \mathbf{M} is gauge invariant if \mathbf{M} satisfies

$$\mathbf{M}^2 = 0.\tag{2.24}$$

When we consider an effective theory in terms of degrees of freedom described by a subspace of \mathcal{H} , we consider a projection onto the subspace. Homotopy algebras have turned out to provide useful tools when we deal with such projections. We consider projections which commute with Q , and we denote the projection operator by P :

$$P^2 = P, \quad P Q = Q P.\tag{2.25}$$

We then promote P on \mathcal{H} to \mathbf{P} on $T\mathcal{H}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P} \pi_0 &= \pi_0, \\ \mathbf{P} \pi_1 &= P \pi_1, \\ \mathbf{P} \pi_2 &= (P \otimes P) \pi_2, \\ \mathbf{P} \pi_3 &= (P \otimes P \otimes P) \pi_3, \\ &\vdots\end{aligned}\tag{2.26}$$

The operators \mathbf{Q} and \mathbf{P} satisfy

$$\mathbf{P}^2 = \mathbf{P}, \quad \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{Q}.\tag{2.27}$$

A key ingredient is an operator h satisfying

$$Qh + hQ = \mathbb{I} - P, \quad hP = 0, \quad Ph = 0, \quad h^2 = 0. \quad (2.28)$$

It is called a contracting homotopy, and physically it describes propagators associated with degrees of freedom which are integrated out. We then promote h on \mathcal{H} to \mathbf{h} on $T\mathcal{H}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h} \pi_0 &= 0, \\ \mathbf{h} \pi_1 &= h \pi_1, \\ \mathbf{h} \pi_2 &= (h \otimes P + \mathbb{I} \otimes h) \pi_2, \\ \mathbf{h} \pi_3 &= (h \otimes P \otimes P + \mathbb{I} \otimes h \otimes P + \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes h) \pi_3, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

The relations involving Q , P , and h are promoted to the following relations:

$$\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}, \quad \mathbf{h} \mathbf{P} = 0, \quad \mathbf{P} \mathbf{h} = 0, \quad \mathbf{h}^2 = 0, \quad (2.30)$$

where \mathbf{I} is the identity operator on $T\mathcal{H}$.

When we consider correlation functions, we perform the path integral *completely*. This corresponds to the case where P vanishes:

$$P = 0. \quad (2.31)$$

The associated operator \mathbf{P} corresponds to the projection onto $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 0}$:

$$\mathbf{P} = \pi_0. \quad (2.32)$$

When $P = 0$, the conditions for h are given by

$$Qh + hQ = \mathbb{I}, \quad h^2 = 0. \quad (2.33)$$

In the case of scalar field theories in zero dimensions with Q defined in (2.14), h is given by

$$hd = \frac{1}{m^2} c, \quad hc = 0. \quad (2.34)$$

The associated operator \mathbf{h} is given by

$$\mathbf{h} = h \pi_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\mathbb{I}^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes h) \pi_n. \quad (2.35)$$

The formula for correlation functions based on quantum A_∞ algebras is given by

$$\langle \Phi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \pi_n \mathbf{f} \mathbf{1}, \quad (2.36)$$

where

$$\Phi^{\otimes n} = \underbrace{\Phi \otimes \Phi \otimes \dots \otimes \Phi}_n \quad (2.37)$$

with Φ being an element of \mathcal{H}_1 . The operator \mathbf{f} in the Euclidean case is

$$\mathbf{f} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m} - \hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}} , \quad (2.38)$$

and \mathbf{f} in the Lorentzian case is

$$\mathbf{f} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m} + i \hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}} . \quad (2.39)$$

In the case of scalar field theories in zero dimensions, the operator \mathbf{U} is given by

$$\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{d} , \quad (2.40)$$

where \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{d} are coderivations associated with c and d , respectively. Since

$$\Phi = \varphi c , \quad (2.41)$$

the correlation functions are given as coefficients in front of basis vectors of $T\mathcal{H}$:

$$\langle \Phi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \langle \underbrace{\Phi \otimes \Phi \otimes \dots \otimes \Phi}_n \rangle = \langle \varphi^n \rangle \underbrace{c \otimes c \otimes \dots \otimes c}_n . \quad (2.42)$$

The operator \mathbf{f} is a linear map from $T\mathcal{H}_1$ to $T\mathcal{H}_1$, where the vector space $T\mathcal{H}_1$ is defined by

$$T\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}^{\otimes 0} \oplus \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1^{\otimes 2} \oplus \mathcal{H}_1^{\otimes 3} \oplus \dots \quad (2.43)$$

with

$$\mathcal{H}_1^{\otimes n} = \underbrace{\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_1}_n \quad (2.44)$$

for $n > 0$. For scalar field theories in zero dimensions, an element \mathbf{v} of $T\mathcal{H}_1$ can be expanded as

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_0 \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{v}_1 c + \mathbf{v}_2 c \otimes c + \mathbf{v}_3 c \otimes c \otimes c + \dots , \quad (2.45)$$

and we represent \mathbf{v} as

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_0 \\ \mathbf{v}_1 \\ \mathbf{v}_2 \\ \mathbf{v}_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} . \quad (2.46)$$

A linear map \mathbf{A} from $T\mathcal{H}_1$ to $T\mathcal{H}_1$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A} \mathbf{1} &= \mathbf{A}_{00} \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{A}_{01} c + \mathbf{A}_{02} c \otimes c + \mathbf{A}_{03} c \otimes c \otimes c + \dots, \\
\mathbf{A} c &= \mathbf{A}_{10} \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{A}_{11} c + \mathbf{A}_{12} c \otimes c + \mathbf{A}_{13} c \otimes c \otimes c + \dots, \\
\mathbf{A} c \otimes c &= \mathbf{A}_{20} \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{A}_{21} c + \mathbf{A}_{22} c \otimes c + \mathbf{A}_{23} c \otimes c \otimes c + \dots, \\
\mathbf{A} c \otimes c \otimes c &= \mathbf{A}_{30} \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{A}_{31} c + \mathbf{A}_{32} c \otimes c + \mathbf{A}_{33} c \otimes c \otimes c + \dots, \\
&\vdots
\end{aligned} \tag{2.47}$$

is represented in the matrix form as

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{00} & \mathbf{A}_{01} & \mathbf{A}_{02} & \mathbf{A}_{03} & \dots \\ \mathbf{A}_{10} & \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{A}_{12} & \mathbf{A}_{13} & \dots \\ \mathbf{A}_{20} & \mathbf{A}_{21} & \mathbf{A}_{22} & \mathbf{A}_{23} & \dots \\ \mathbf{A}_{30} & \mathbf{A}_{31} & \mathbf{A}_{32} & \mathbf{A}_{33} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.48}$$

Therefore, the formula can be expressed as

$$\langle \varphi^n \rangle = \mathbf{f}_{n0}. \tag{2.49}$$

Let us consider the ingredients of \mathbf{f} in the matrix form. The components \mathbf{I}_{ij} of the identity operator \mathbf{I} are given by

$$\mathbf{I}_{ij} = \delta_{ij}. \tag{2.50}$$

In the matrix form, the identity operator \mathbf{I} is expressed as follows:

$$\mathbf{I} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.51}$$

Let us next consider $\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_2$ and $\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_2 \mathbf{1} &= 0, \\
\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_2 c &= 0, \\
\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_2 (\underbrace{c \otimes \dots \otimes c}_j) &= \underbrace{c \otimes \dots \otimes c}_{j-2} \otimes \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_2 (c \otimes c) = \frac{g}{m^2} \underbrace{c \otimes \dots \otimes c}_{j-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.52}$$

for $j \geq 2$, we have

$$(\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_2)_{i0} = 0, \quad (\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_2)_{i1} = 0, \quad (\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_2)_{ij} = \frac{g}{m^2} \delta_{i,j-1} \quad \text{for } j \geq 2. \tag{2.53}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 \mathbf{1} &= 0, \\
\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 c &= 0, \\
\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 (c \otimes c) &= 0, \\
\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 (\underbrace{c \otimes \dots \otimes c}_j) &= \underbrace{c \otimes \dots \otimes c}_{j-3} \otimes \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 (c \otimes c \otimes c) = \frac{\lambda}{m^2} \underbrace{c \otimes \dots \otimes c}_{j-2}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.54}$$

for $j \geq 3$, we have

$$(\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3)_{i0} = 0, \quad (\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3)_{i1} = 0, \quad (\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3)_{i2} = 0, \quad (\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3)_{ij} = \frac{\lambda}{m^2} \delta_{i,j-2} \quad \text{for } j \geq 3. \tag{2.55}$$

In the matrix form, they are given by

$$\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_2 = \frac{g}{m^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 = \frac{\lambda}{m^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.56}$$

The last ingredient is $\mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}$. Since

$$\pi_{j+2} \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U} \pi_j = \sum_{k=0}^j \mathbb{I}^{\otimes k} \otimes c \otimes \mathbb{I}^{\otimes (j-k)} \otimes h d = \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{k=0}^j \mathbb{I}^{\otimes k} \otimes c \otimes \mathbb{I}^{\otimes (j-k)} \otimes c, \tag{2.57}$$

we have

$$\mathbf{h} \mathbf{U} (\underbrace{c \otimes \dots \otimes c}_j) = \frac{j+1}{m^2} \underbrace{c \otimes \dots \otimes c}_{j+2} \tag{2.58}$$

and

$$(\mathbf{h} \mathbf{U})_{ij} = \frac{j+1}{m^2} \delta_{i,j+2}. \tag{2.59}$$

In the matrix form, it is given by

$$\mathbf{h} \mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{m^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.60}$$

2.1 The Euclidean case

We set $g = 0$ and consider φ^4 theory in zero dimensions. Let us begin with the Euclidean case. We first verify that \mathbf{f}_{n0} reproduces $\langle \varphi^n \rangle$ in perturbation theory. The action S is given by

$$S = \frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^2 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda \varphi^4, \quad (2.61)$$

and we set $m^2 = 1$ and $\hbar = 1$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\varphi e^{-\frac{S}{\hbar}} &= \sqrt{2\pi} \left[1 - \frac{3}{4} \lambda + \frac{105}{32} \lambda^2 - \frac{3465}{128} \lambda^3 + \frac{675675}{2048} \lambda^4 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^5) \right], \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\varphi \varphi^2 e^{-\frac{S}{\hbar}} &= \sqrt{2\pi} \left[1 - \frac{15}{4} \lambda + \frac{945}{32} \lambda^2 - \frac{45045}{128} \lambda^3 + \frac{11486475}{2048} \lambda^4 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^5) \right], \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\varphi \varphi^4 e^{-\frac{S}{\hbar}} &= \sqrt{2\pi} \left[3 - \frac{105}{4} \lambda + \frac{10395}{32} \lambda^2 - \frac{675675}{128} \lambda^3 + \frac{218243025}{2048} \lambda^4 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^5) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.62)$$

the perturbative correlation functions $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$ and $\langle \varphi^4 \rangle$ in the path integral formalism are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi^2 \rangle &= 1 - 3\lambda + 24\lambda^2 - 297\lambda^3 + 4896\lambda^4 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^5), \\ \langle \varphi^4 \rangle &= 3 - 24\lambda + 297\lambda^2 - 4896\lambda^3 + 100278\lambda^4 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^5). \end{aligned} \quad (2.63)$$

Let us next calculate perturbative correlation functions in terms of quantum A_∞ algebras. In the case of φ^4 theory in zero dimensions, \mathbf{f} is given by

$$\mathbf{f} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 - \hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}}. \quad (2.64)$$

In perturbation theory, \mathbf{f} is defined by

$$\mathbf{f} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 - \hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}} = \mathbf{I} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n (\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 - \hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U})^n. \quad (2.65)$$

Note that the coupling constant λ is contained in $\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3$. We expand \mathbf{f} as

$$\mathbf{f} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} - \hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} - \hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}} \left(\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} - \hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}} \right)^n, \quad (2.66)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{I} - \hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}} = \mathbf{I} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U})^n. \quad (2.67)$$

When we evaluate the $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^m)$ term of \mathbf{f}_{n0} , we can replace the inverse of $\mathbf{I} - \hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}$ with

$$\mathbf{I} + \sum_{k=1}^x (\hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U})^k, \quad (2.68)$$




Figure 1: The plot of the exact value of the two-point function $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$ and its loop approximations.

	$\lambda = 0.04$	$\lambda = 0.2$
$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$	0.9065367244	0.7240590202
the number of loops	$\lambda = 0.04$	$\lambda = 0.2$
1	0.88	0.4
2	0.9184	1.36
3	0.899392	-1.016
4	0.91192576	6.8176
5	0.9016572928	-25.27136
6	0.911693738	131.548096
7	0.9003013862	-758.4793856
8	0.9150305504	4995.100380

Table 1: The evaluation of the two-point function $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$ in perturbation theory.

where x is determined by m and n .⁴ We calculate \mathbf{f}_{20} and \mathbf{f}_{40} to find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_{20} &= 1 - 3\lambda + 24\lambda^2 - 297\lambda^3 + 4896\lambda^4 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^5), \\ \mathbf{f}_{40} &= 3 - 24\lambda + 297\lambda^2 - 4896\lambda^3 + 100278\lambda^4 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^5). \end{aligned} \quad (2.69)$$

These correctly produce the perturbative expansions of $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$ and $\langle \varphi^4 \rangle$. We show the plot of the exact value of $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$ and its perturbative expansions in figure 1. The perturbative expansion does not converge, and we see from figure 1 that the 8-loop approximation is worse than the 4-loop approximation when $\lambda \sim 0.04$. Numerical values of loop approximations for the two-point function $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$ when $\lambda = 0.04$ are presented up to 8 loops in table 1.

As we mentioned in the introduction, it is possible that the inverse of $\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}_3 - \hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}$ exists nonperturbatively for finite values of λ . When $m = 1$ and $\hbar = 1$, the matrix form of

⁴If n is even, $x = \frac{2m-n}{2}$ in the quartic theory.

$\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 - \hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}$ is

$$\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 - \hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \lambda & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.70)$$

Let us evaluate the inverse of $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 - \hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}$ by truncating it to an N by N matrix. For $N = 25$, \mathbf{f}_{20} and \mathbf{f}_{40} as functions of λ are given by

$$\mathbf{f}_{20} = \frac{1 + 140\lambda + 6660\lambda^2 + 129360\lambda^3 + 957075\lambda^4 + 1853460\lambda^5}{1 + 143\lambda + 7065\lambda^2 + 147420\lambda^3 + 1267350\lambda^4 + 3615885\lambda^5 + 1514205\lambda^6}, \quad (2.71)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_{40} = \frac{3 + 405\lambda + 18060\lambda^2 + 310275\lambda^3 + 1762425\lambda^4 + 1514205\lambda^5}{1 + 143\lambda + 7065\lambda^2 + 147420\lambda^3 + 1267350\lambda^4 + 3615885\lambda^5 + 1514205\lambda^6}. \quad (2.72)$$

We can estimate the precision achieved by the perturbative expansion when $\lambda \sim 0.04$ from figure 1. With this in mind, let us now substitute $\lambda = 0.04$ in (2.71) and (2.72), and compare them with the corresponding exact values of the correlation functions. We find

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle \simeq 0.90653672, \quad (2.73)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_{20} \simeq 0.90653666 \quad (2.74)$$

and

$$\langle \varphi^4 \rangle \simeq 2.3365819, \quad (2.75)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_{40} \simeq 2.3365834. \quad (2.76)$$

The agreement of \mathbf{f}_{n0} with $\langle \varphi^n \rangle$ is much better than that of the perturbative expansion. We do not believe that this is accidental, and we consider this result as evidence that the formula for correlation functions based on quantum A_∞ algebras contains information beyond perturbation theory.

Let us explore larger values of λ . The data for the perturbative expansion of the two-point function when $\lambda = 0.2$ is also presented in table 1, and we see that the perturbation theory completely breaks down. For $\lambda = 0.2$, the evaluation of \mathbf{f}_{20} with $N = 100$ and the exact value of $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$ are

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle \simeq 0.7240590202, \quad (2.77)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_{20} \simeq 0.7240590258. \quad (2.78)$$

$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$	$\lambda = 0.04$	$\lambda = 0.2$	$\lambda = 1.5$	$\lambda = 3$
exact	0.9065367244	0.7240590202	0.4066915207	0.3130156270
N	$\lambda = 0.04$	$\lambda = 0.2$	$\lambda = 1.5$	$\lambda = 3$
10	0.9059745348	0.7024793388	0.2685512367	0.1574468085
25	0.9065366639	0.7237546945	0.3751623774	0.2543859219
50	0.9065367244	0.7240552164	0.4002397294	0.2932452874
100	0.9065367244	0.7240590258	0.4072861268	0.3167705780

Table 2: The evaluation of \mathbf{f}_{20} by truncating $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 - \hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}$ to an N by N matrix and comparison with the two-point function $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$.

$\langle \varphi^4 \rangle$	$\lambda = 0.04$	$\lambda = 0.2$	$\lambda = 1.5$	$\lambda = 3$
exact	2.336581891	1.379704899	0.3955389862	0.2289947910
N	$\lambda = 0.04$	$\lambda = 0.2$	$\lambda = 1.5$	$\lambda = 3$
10	2.350636631	1.487603306	0.4876325088	0.2808510638
25	2.336583402	1.381226527	0.4165584151	0.2485380260
50	2.336581891	1.379723918	0.3998401804	0.2355849042
100	2.336581891	1.379704871	0.3951425821	0.2277431407

Table 3: The evaluation of \mathbf{f}_{40} by truncating $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 - \hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}$ to an N by N matrix and comparison with the four-point function $\langle \varphi^4 \rangle$.

Even in the regime where the perturbative expansion breaks down, we see that the formula for correlation functions based on quantum A_∞ algebras still works! By keeping the precision of about 1%, we can increase the coupling constant up to $\lambda \simeq 3$. Further data are presented in table 2 and table 3.

2.2 The Lorentzian case

Let us next consider the Lorentzian case. As in the Euclidean case, we set $g = 0$ and consider φ^4 theory. The formula for correlation functions in the Lorentzian case is

$$\langle \varphi^n \rangle = \mathbf{f}_{n0}, \quad (2.79)$$

where

$$\mathbf{f} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m} + i\hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}}. \quad (2.80)$$

The definitions of $\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}$ are the same as those in the preceding subsection. The formula reproduces perturbation theory, although we do not present it here. Again, it is possible that the inverse of the operator $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 + i\hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}$ exists nonperturbatively for finite values of λ .

When $m = 1$ and $\hbar = 1$, the matrix form of $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 + i\hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}$ is

$$\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 + i\hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ i & 0 & 1 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 2i & 0 & 1 & 0 & \lambda & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 3i & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4i & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.81)$$

Let us evaluate the inverse of $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 + i\hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}$ by truncating it to an N by N matrix. For $N = 25$, \mathbf{f}_{20} and \mathbf{f}_{40} as functions of λ are given by

$$\mathbf{f}_{20} = \frac{-i - 140\lambda + 6660i\lambda^2 + 129360\lambda^3 - 957075i\lambda^4 - 1853460\lambda^5}{1 - 143i\lambda - 7065\lambda^2 + 147420i\lambda^3 + 1267350\lambda^4 - 3615885i\lambda^5 - 1514205\lambda^6}, \quad (2.82)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_{40} = \frac{-3 + 405i\lambda + 18060\lambda^2 - 310275i\lambda^3 - 1762425\lambda^4 + 1514205i\lambda^5}{1 - 143i\lambda - 7065\lambda^2 + 147420i\lambda^3 + 1267350\lambda^4 - 3615885i\lambda^5 - 1514205\lambda^6}. \quad (2.83)$$

As in the Euclidean case, let us substitute $\lambda = 0.04$ in (2.82) and (2.83), and compare them with the corresponding exact values of the correlation functions. We find

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle \simeq 0.1065670 - 0.969384893i, \quad (2.84)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_{20} \simeq 0.1065659 - 0.969384873i \quad (2.85)$$

and

$$\langle \varphi^4 \rangle \simeq -2.6641739 - 0.7653777i, \quad (2.86)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_{40} \simeq -2.6641477 - 0.7653782i. \quad (2.87)$$

As in the Euclidean case, we find impressive agreement. We consider that the formula for correlation functions based on quantum A_∞ algebras contains information beyond perturbation theory in the Lorentzian case as well.

As a larger value of λ , let us take $\lambda = 0.5$. For $N = 100$, we find

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle \simeq 0.28013 - 0.57615i, \quad (2.88)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_{20} \simeq 0.27998 - 0.57609i \quad (2.89)$$

and

$$\langle \varphi^4 \rangle \simeq -0.56026 - 0.84770i, \quad (2.90)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_{40} \simeq -0.55996 - 0.84783i. \quad (2.91)$$

$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$	$\lambda = 0.04$	$\lambda = 0.5$
exact	$0.106567 - 0.969385i$	$0.280132 - 0.576152i$
N	$\lambda = 0.04$	$\lambda = 0.5$
10	$0.105205 - 0.969450i$	$0.444071 - 0.548360i$
25	$0.106566 - 0.969385i$	$0.274637 - 0.597967i$
50	$0.106567 - 0.969385i$	$0.277626 - 0.574320i$
100	$0.106567 - 0.969385i$	$0.279980 - 0.576085i$

Table 4: The evaluation of \mathbf{f}_{20} by truncating $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 + i\hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}$ to an N by N matrix and comparison with the two-point function $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$.

$\langle \varphi^4 \rangle$	$\lambda = 0.04$	$\lambda = 0.5$
exact	$-2.66417 - 0.76538i$	$-0.560264 - 0.847696i$
N	$\lambda = 0.04$	$\lambda = 0.5$
10	$-2.63013 - 0.76374i$	$-0.888141 - 0.903280i$
25	$-2.66415 - 0.76538i$	$-0.549273 - 0.804066i$
50	$-2.66417 - 0.76538i$	$-0.555251 - 0.851360i$
100	$-2.66417 - 0.76538i$	$-0.559961 - 0.847830i$

Table 5: The evaluation of \mathbf{f}_{40} by truncating $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 + i\hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}$ to an N by N matrix and comparison with the four-point function $\langle \varphi^4 \rangle$.

This is the regime where the perturbative expansion breaks down, but we again see that the formula for correlation functions based on quantum A_∞ algebras is working. Further data are presented in table 4 and table 5.

The convergence of the path integral in the Lorentzian case is more subtle than that in the Euclidean case. On the other hand, the calculations in the formula for correlation functions based on quantum A_∞ algebras in the Lorentzian case do not seem to be so different from those in the Euclidean case. We hope that our approach will provide a new perspective on the sign problem.

3 New formula for correlation functions

We have presented evidence that our formula for correlation functions based on quantum A_∞ algebras contains nonperturbative information. Our formula, however, requires us to choose a free part of the action. This is not satisfactory for a nonperturbative definition of correlation functions. This also raises the question of whether our formula is background independent. Namely, the question is whether our formula gives the same answer when there are multiple




Figure 2: The shape of the potential for (3.1).

solutions to the equations of motion and we choose different free parts. In this section we give an answer to this question. We first consider perturbation theory around a nontrivial solution in subsection 3.1. We then transform the formula to a new form which does not involve the division of the free part and the interaction part in subsection 3.2. The new form of the formula requires a choice of a solution to the equations of motion which does not have to be real. We state our claim in subsection 3.3 that the formula gives correlation functions on the Lefschetz thimble associated with the solution we chose.

3.1 Perturbation theory around nontrivial solutions

Let us consider perturbation theory around a nontrivial solution. In this section, we consider the Lorentzian case, but it is straightforward to modify the discussion to the Euclidean case.

Consider an action given by

$$S = -\frac{1}{2}m^2\varphi^2 + \frac{(a+b)m^2}{3ab}\varphi^3 - \frac{m^2}{4ab}\varphi^4, \quad (3.1)$$

where the constants m , a and b are real and positive with $b < a$. The shape of the potential is presented in figure 2.⁵ The equation of motion is given by

$$\frac{m^2}{ab}\varphi(\varphi-b)(\varphi-a) = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

and the solutions are

$$\varphi = 0, b, a. \quad (3.3)$$

We know the formula for perturbation theory around the solution $\varphi = 0$. It corresponds to the case where

$$Qc = m^2d, \quad m_2(c \otimes c) = -\frac{(a+b)m^2}{ab}d, \quad m_3(c \otimes c \otimes c) = \frac{m^2}{ab}d, \quad hd = \frac{1}{m^2}c, \quad (3.4)$$

⁵The potential V is related to the action S as $V = -S$ in the Lorentzian case and as $V = S$ in the Euclidean case.

and the correlation functions are given by

$$\langle \Phi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \pi_n \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m} + i\hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}} \mathbf{1}. \quad (3.5)$$

Let us consider perturbation theory around the nontrivial solution $\varphi = a$. In quantum field theory, we know what to do. We expand φ as

$$\varphi = a + \tilde{\varphi}, \quad (3.6)$$

and the action in terms of $\tilde{\varphi}$ is

$$S = -\frac{a^2(2b-a)m^2}{12b} - \frac{(a-b)m^2}{2b}\tilde{\varphi}^2 - \frac{(2a-b)m^2}{3ab}\tilde{\varphi}^3 - \frac{m^2}{4ab}\tilde{\varphi}^4. \quad (3.7)$$

We then calculate $\langle \tilde{\varphi}^n \rangle$, and $\langle \varphi^n \rangle$ is given by

$$\langle \varphi^n \rangle = \langle (a + \tilde{\varphi})^n \rangle = \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!} a^{n-m} \langle \tilde{\varphi}^m \rangle. \quad (3.8)$$

Let us describe this procedure in terms of A_∞ algebras. We first need to represent the equations of motion in the language of A_∞ algebras. The equations of motion are usually written as

$$\pi_1 \mathbf{M} \frac{1}{1 - \Phi} = 0, \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{1 - \Phi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Phi^{\otimes n} = \mathbf{1} + \Phi + \Phi \otimes \Phi + \Phi \otimes \Phi \otimes \Phi \dots \quad (3.10)$$

An element of this form is often called a group-like element. Note that this satisfies

$$\triangle \frac{1}{1 - \Phi} = \frac{1}{1 - \Phi} \otimes' \frac{1}{1 - \Phi}, \quad (3.11)$$

where the coproduct is denoted by \triangle . This can also be written in a form which is more convenient for us. We introduce the coderivation Φ associated with Φ in \mathcal{H}_1 . We then have

$$\frac{1}{1 - \Phi} = e^{\Phi} \mathbf{1}. \quad (3.12)$$

In terms of Φ , the equations of motion are written as

$$\pi_1 \mathbf{M} e^{\Phi} \mathbf{1} = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

In the case of scalar field theories in zero dimensions, we have

$$\Phi \mathbf{1} = \Phi = \varphi c. \quad (3.14)$$

For the action (3.1), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_1 \mathbf{Q} \Phi \mathbf{1} &= Q \Phi = m^2 \varphi d, \\
\frac{1}{2} \pi_1 \mathbf{m}_2 \Phi^2 \mathbf{1} &= \frac{1}{2} m_2 (\Phi \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes \Phi) \Phi = g \varphi^2 d, \\
\frac{1}{3!} \pi_1 \mathbf{m}_3 \Phi^3 \mathbf{1} &= \frac{1}{3!} m_3 (\Phi \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes \Phi \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \Phi) (\Phi \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes \Phi) \Phi \\
&= \lambda \varphi^3 d.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Therefore, the equation of motion is reproduced as follows:

$$\pi_1 \mathbf{M} e^{\Phi} \mathbf{1} = (m^2 \varphi + g \varphi^2 + \lambda \varphi^3) d = 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Suppose that we have a nontrivial solution Φ_* to the equations of motion. We denote the coderivation associated with Φ_* by Φ_* :

$$\pi_1 \mathbf{M} e^{\Phi_*} \mathbf{1} = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

In the case of the theory (3.1) with the solution $\varphi = a$, we have

$$\Phi_* = a c. \tag{3.18}$$

We expand Φ as

$$\Phi = \Phi_* + \tilde{\Phi}, \tag{3.19}$$

and let us consider the coderivation $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}$ which describes the action in terms of $\tilde{\Phi}$. We take a generic quartic theory as an example for illustration. The operator \tilde{m}_3 is the same as m_3 :

$$\tilde{m}_3 = m_3. \tag{3.20}$$

We therefore have

$$\pi_1 \widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_3 = \pi_1 \mathbf{m}_3. \tag{3.21}$$

The operator \tilde{m}_2 is given by

$$\tilde{m}_2 = m_2 + m_3 (\Phi_* \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes \Phi_* \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \Phi_*). \tag{3.22}$$

We therefore have

$$\pi_1 \widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_2 = \pi_1 \mathbf{m}_2 + \pi_1 \mathbf{m}_3 \Phi_* \tag{3.23}$$

The operator \tilde{Q} is given by

$$\tilde{Q} = Q + m_2 (\Phi_* \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes \Phi_*) + m_3 (\Phi_* \otimes \Phi_* \otimes \mathbb{I} + \Phi_* \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \Phi_* + \mathbb{I} \otimes \Phi_* \otimes \Phi_*). \tag{3.24}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Phi_* \otimes \Phi_* \otimes \mathbb{I} + \Phi_* \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \Phi_* + \mathbb{I} \otimes \Phi_* \otimes \Phi_*) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\Phi_* \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes \Phi_* \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \Phi_*) (\Phi_* \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes \Phi_*), \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

we have

$$\pi_1 \tilde{\mathbf{Q}} = \pi_1 \mathbf{Q} + \pi_1 \mathbf{m}_2 \Phi_* + \frac{1}{2} \pi_1 \mathbf{m}_3 \Phi_*^2. \quad (3.26)$$

Let us confirm this for the theory (3.1) with the solution $\varphi = a$. The action (3.7) is described by

$$\tilde{Q}c = \frac{(a-b)m^2}{b}d, \quad \tilde{m}_2(c \otimes c) = \frac{(2a-b)m^2}{ab}d, \quad \tilde{m}_3(c \otimes c \otimes c) = \frac{m^2}{ab}d. \quad (3.27)$$

The operator \tilde{m}_3 is the same as m_3 . For \tilde{m}_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & m_2(c \otimes c) + m_3(ac \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes ac \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes ac)(c \otimes c) \\ &= -\frac{(a+b)m^2}{ab}d + \frac{3m^2}{b}d = \frac{(2a-b)m^2}{ab}d, \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

which reproduces $\tilde{m}_2(c \otimes c)$. For \tilde{Q} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Qc + m_2(ac \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes ac)c \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} m_3(ac \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes ac \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} \otimes ac)(ac \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes ac)c \\ &= m^2d - \frac{2(a+b)m^2}{b}d + \frac{3am^2}{b}d = \frac{(a-b)m^2}{b}d, \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

which reproduces $\tilde{Q}c$.

As can be seen from this discussion for the quartic theory, we in general have

$$\pi_1 \widetilde{\mathbf{M}} = \pi_1 \mathbf{M} e^{\Phi_*}, \quad (3.30)$$

and the coderivation $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{M}} = e^{-\Phi_*} \mathbf{M} e^{\Phi_*}. \quad (3.31)$$

In general, we decompose $\pi_1 \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}$ as

$$\pi_1 \widetilde{\mathbf{M}} = \tilde{Q} \pi_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \tilde{m}_n \pi_n, \quad (3.32)$$

and we define the coderivation $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}$ associated with \tilde{Q} and the coderivation $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_n$ associated with \tilde{m}_n for each n . Note that $\pi_1 \widetilde{\mathbf{M}} \pi_0$ vanishes,

$$\pi_1 \widetilde{\mathbf{M}} \pi_0 = 0, \quad (3.33)$$

as Φ_* satisfies (3.17). We thus have

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{M}} = \widetilde{\mathbf{Q}} + \widetilde{\mathbf{m}} \quad (3.34)$$

with

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{m}} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_n. \quad (3.35)$$

We then construct \widetilde{h} satisfying

$$\widetilde{Q} \widetilde{h} + \widetilde{h} \widetilde{Q} = \mathbb{I}, \quad \widetilde{h}^2 = 0, \quad (3.36)$$

and \widetilde{h} satisfying

$$\widetilde{Q} \widetilde{h} + \widetilde{h} \widetilde{Q} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}, \quad \widetilde{h} \mathbf{P} = 0, \quad \mathbf{P} \widetilde{h} = 0, \quad \widetilde{h}^2 = 0 \quad (3.37)$$

with

$$\mathbf{P} = \pi_0. \quad (3.38)$$

The formula for the correlation functions $\langle \widetilde{\Phi}^{\otimes n} \rangle$ for the perturbation theory around the solution Φ_* is given by

$$\langle \widetilde{\Phi}^{\otimes n} \rangle = \pi_n \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \widetilde{h} \widetilde{\mathbf{m}} + i\hbar \widetilde{h} \mathbf{U}} \mathbf{1}. \quad (3.39)$$

The formula for the correlation functions $\langle \Phi^{\otimes n} \rangle$ for the perturbation theory around the solution Φ_* can be written using Φ_* as

$$\langle \Phi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \pi_n e^{\Phi_*} \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \widetilde{h} \widetilde{\mathbf{m}} + i\hbar \widetilde{h} \mathbf{U}} \mathbf{1}. \quad (3.40)$$

We write this as

$$\langle \Phi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \pi_n \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + (e^{\Phi_*} \widetilde{h} e^{-\Phi_*}) (e^{\Phi_*} \widetilde{\mathbf{m}} e^{-\Phi_*}) + i\hbar (e^{\Phi_*} \widetilde{h} e^{-\Phi_*}) (e^{\Phi_*} \mathbf{U} e^{-\Phi_*})} e^{\Phi_*} \mathbf{1}, \quad (3.41)$$

and define \mathbf{Q}_* , \mathbf{m}_* and \mathbf{h}_* by

$$\mathbf{Q}_* = e^{\Phi_*} \widetilde{\mathbf{Q}} e^{-\Phi_*}, \quad \mathbf{m}_* = e^{\Phi_*} \widetilde{\mathbf{m}} e^{-\Phi_*}, \quad \mathbf{h}_* = e^{\Phi_*} \widetilde{h} e^{-\Phi_*}. \quad (3.42)$$

For the operator \mathbf{U} , it follows from

$$[\Phi_*, \mathbf{U}] = 0 \quad (3.43)$$

that

$$e^{\Phi_*} \mathbf{U} e^{-\Phi_*} = \mathbf{U}. \quad (3.44)$$

Here and in what follows, $[A, B]$ is the graded commutator of A and B with respect to degree. The formula for $\langle \Phi^{\otimes n} \rangle$ is then

$$\langle \Phi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \pi_n \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h}_* \mathbf{m}_* + i\hbar \mathbf{h}_* \mathbf{U}} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{1} \quad (3.45)$$

with

$$\mathbf{P} = e^{\Phi_*} \pi_0. \quad (3.46)$$

Since

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{M}} = \widetilde{\mathbf{Q}} + \widetilde{\mathbf{m}} = e^{-\Phi_*} \mathbf{M} e^{\Phi_*}, \quad (3.47)$$

we find

$$\mathbf{Q}_* + \mathbf{m}_* = \mathbf{M}. \quad (3.48)$$

Namely, the sum of \mathbf{Q}_* and \mathbf{m}_* is the same as the sum of \mathbf{Q} and \mathbf{m} , but \mathbf{Q}_* is different from \mathbf{Q} :

$$\mathbf{Q}_* \neq \mathbf{Q}. \quad (3.49)$$

Given the action described by \mathbf{M} , we are making different choices for the free part. If we take \mathbf{Q} to be the free part, the correlation functions are given by

$$\langle \Phi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \pi_n \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m} + i\hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}} \mathbf{1}. \quad (3.50)$$

If we take \mathbf{Q}_* to be the free part, the correlation functions are given by

$$\langle \Phi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \pi_n \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h}_* \mathbf{m}_* + i\hbar \mathbf{h}_* \mathbf{U}} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{1}. \quad (3.51)$$

An important lesson we have learned is that in general \mathbf{P} is different from π_0 . Note that

$$\mathbf{P}^2 = \mathbf{P} \quad (3.52)$$

because

$$e^{\Phi_*} \pi_0 e^{\Phi_*} \pi_0 = e^{\Phi_*} \pi_0, \quad (3.53)$$

where we used $\pi_0 \Phi_* = 0$. In fact, it is sometimes convenient to think of \mathbf{P} as

$$\mathbf{P} = e^{\Phi_*} \pi_0 e^{-\Phi_*}, \quad (3.54)$$

although the factor of $e^{-\Phi_*}$ reduces the identity operator because of the action by π_0 . For example, it follows from

$$\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}, \quad \mathbf{h} \mathbf{P} = 0, \quad \mathbf{P} \mathbf{h} = 0, \quad \mathbf{h}^2 = 0 \quad (3.55)$$

with $\mathbf{P} = \pi_0$ that

$$\mathbf{Q}_* \mathbf{h}_* + \mathbf{h}_* \mathbf{Q}_* = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}, \quad \mathbf{h}_* \mathbf{P} = 0, \quad \mathbf{P} \mathbf{h}_* = 0, \quad \mathbf{h}_*^2 = 0 \quad (3.56)$$

with $\mathbf{P} = e^{\Phi_*} \pi_0 e^{-\Phi_*}$.

We also define the operator \mathbf{P} for a general element of \mathcal{H}_1 which is not necessarily a solution to the equation of motion. Then the equations of motion can be written in terms of \mathbf{P} as

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{P} = 0 \quad (3.57)$$

with

$$\mathbf{P} = e^{\Phi} \pi_0, \quad (3.58)$$

where Φ is the coderivation associated with Φ .

Practically, the formula of the form (3.40) is convenient. Conceptually, however, the formula of the form (3.45) is important, which will lead us to a formula which does not involve the division of the action into the free part and the interaction part in the next subsection.

3.2 Transforming the formula

Let us recapitulate the result of the preceding subsection in terms of slightly modified notation. We consider the Lorentzian case, but it is straightforward to modify the discussion to the Euclidean case. We denote the coderivation which describes the action by \mathbf{M} . We then choose its free part and a solution to the free equations of motion. Since it is no longer important whether or not the solution is trivial, we denote the free part of \mathbf{M} by \mathbf{Q} without distinguishing between \mathbf{Q} and \mathbf{Q}_* . We denote the coderivation which describes the interactions by \mathbf{m} , and \mathbf{M} is the sum of \mathbf{Q} and \mathbf{m} :

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{m}. \quad (3.59)$$

We will later consider the case where the solution solves the free equation of motion but does not solve the full equations of motion, so we denote the solution to the free part of the equations of motion by $\Phi_*^{(0)}$ instead of Φ_* in the preceding subsection. In terms of the coderivation $\Phi_*^{(0)}$ associated with $\Phi_*^{(0)}$, we have

$$\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{P}^{(0)} = 0 \quad (3.60)$$

with

$$\mathbf{P}^{(0)} = e^{\Phi_*^{(0)}} \pi_0. \quad (3.61)$$

We then use \mathbf{h} which satisfies

$$\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{h}\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}^{(0)}, \quad \mathbf{P}^{(0)}\mathbf{h} = 0, \quad \mathbf{h}\mathbf{P}^{(0)} = 0, \quad \mathbf{h}^2 = 0 \quad (3.62)$$

to describe the correlation functions as follows:

$$\langle \Phi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \pi_n \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h}\mathbf{m} + i\hbar\mathbf{h}\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{P}^{(0)} \mathbf{1}. \quad (3.63)$$

Let us transform the formula into a form that does not involve the division of the free part and the interaction part. Since

$$\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m} + i\hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U} = (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}) (\mathbf{I} + i\hbar \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}} \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}), \quad (3.64)$$

we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m} + i\hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + i\hbar \mathbf{H} \mathbf{U}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}}, \quad (3.65)$$

where

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}} \mathbf{h}. \quad (3.66)$$

The formula for correlation functions is then

$$\langle \Phi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \pi_n \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + i\hbar \mathbf{H} \mathbf{U}} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{1} \quad (3.67)$$

with

$$\mathbf{P} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}} e^{\Phi_*^{(0)}} \pi_0. \quad (3.68)$$

When the solution $\Phi_*^{(0)}$ satisfies the full equations of motion, we have

$$(\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{m}) e^{\Phi_*^{(0)}} \mathbf{1} = 0. \quad (3.69)$$

It then follows from (3.60) that

$$\mathbf{m} e^{\Phi_*^{(0)}} \mathbf{1} = 0. \quad (3.70)$$

In this case, \mathbf{P} in (3.68) therefore reduces to $\mathbf{P}^{(0)}$ in (3.61). What is the interpretation of \mathbf{P} in (3.68) when the solution $\Phi_*^{(0)}$ does not solve the full equations of motion? First, we can show that

$$\triangle \mathbf{P} \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{1} \otimes' \mathbf{P} \mathbf{1} \quad (3.71)$$

so that \mathbf{P} can be written in the form

$$\mathbf{P} = e^{\Phi_*} \pi_0, \quad (3.72)$$

where Φ_* is the coderivation associated with Φ_* in \mathcal{H}_1 . Second, we can show that

$$\mathbf{M} \mathbf{P} = 0. \quad (3.73)$$

To prove this, let us first consider $\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{P}$. Since $\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{P}^{(0)} = 0$, we have

$$\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Q} \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}} \mathbf{P}^{(0)} = [\mathbf{Q}, \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}}] \mathbf{P}^{(0)}. \quad (3.74)$$

The commutator in this expression can be calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathbf{Q}, \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}}] &= -\frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} [\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}] \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} \\
&= -\frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} [\mathbf{Q}, \hbar] \mathbf{m} \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} + \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} \hbar [\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{m}] \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} \\
&= -\frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}^{(0)}) \mathbf{m} \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} \hbar \mathbf{m}^2 \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.75}$$

The coderivation \mathbf{m} is annihilated by π_0 in $\mathbf{P}^{(0)}$:

$$\mathbf{P}^{(0)} \mathbf{m} = 0. \tag{3.76}$$

We thus have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathbf{Q}, \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}}] &= -\frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} \mathbf{m} \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} \hbar \mathbf{m}^2 \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} \\
&= -\frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} (\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}) \mathbf{m} \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} = -\mathbf{m} \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.77}$$

We use this to write $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{P}$ as

$$\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{P} = -\mathbf{m} \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} \mathbf{P}^{(0)} = -\mathbf{m} \mathbf{P}, \tag{3.78}$$

and we conclude that $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{P} = 0$. This implies that Φ_* in \mathcal{H}_1 solves the full equations of motion.

Let us next consider the operator \mathbf{H} in (3.66). We can show that \mathbf{H} satisfies the following relations:

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{H}\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}, \quad \mathbf{P}\mathbf{H} = 0, \quad \mathbf{H}\mathbf{P} = 0, \quad \mathbf{H}^2 = 0. \tag{3.79}$$

The relations $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{H} = 0$, $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{P} = 0$, and $\mathbf{H}^2 = 0$ immediately follow from $\mathbf{P}^{(0)} \mathbf{h} = 0$, $\mathbf{h} \mathbf{P}^{(0)} = 0$, and $\mathbf{h}^2 = 0$, respectively. For the relation $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{H}\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}$, we first consider $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{H}\mathbf{Q}$ and we write it as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{H}\mathbf{Q} &= [\mathbf{Q}, \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}}] \hbar + \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} [\mathbf{Q}, \hbar] \\
&= -\mathbf{m} \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} \hbar + \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}^{(0)}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.80}$$

We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{M}\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{H}\mathbf{M} &= \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} \hbar \mathbf{m} + \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}^{(0)}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} (\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}) - \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}} \mathbf{P}^{(0)} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.81}$$

3.3 New form of the formula and its interpretation

Let us summarize the new form of the formula we obtained. We denote the coderivation that describes the action by \mathbf{M} . We then choose a solution Φ_* to the equations of motion and we denote the associated coderivation by Φ_* :

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{P} = 0 \quad (3.82)$$

with

$$\mathbf{P} = e^{\Phi_*} \pi_0. \quad (3.83)$$

The formula for correlation functions is given by

$$\langle \Phi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \pi_n \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + i\hbar \mathbf{H} \mathbf{U}} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{1}, \quad (3.84)$$

where \mathbf{H} satisfies

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{H}\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}, \quad \mathbf{H}\mathbf{P} = 0, \quad \mathbf{P}\mathbf{H} = 0, \quad \mathbf{H}^2 = 0. \quad (3.85)$$

This formula does not involve the division of the free part and the interaction part.

The operator \mathbf{H} satisfying the conditions (3.85) can be constructed in the following way. We define $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}$ by

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{M}} = e^{-\Phi_*} \mathbf{M} e^{\Phi_*}. \quad (3.86)$$

We then decompose $\pi_1 \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}$ as

$$\pi_1 \widetilde{\mathbf{M}} = \widetilde{Q} \pi_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \widetilde{m}_n \pi_n, \quad (3.87)$$

and we define the coderivation \widetilde{Q} associated with \widetilde{Q} and the coderivation \widetilde{m}_n associated with \widetilde{m}_n for each n . Note that $\pi_1 \widetilde{\mathbf{M}} \pi_0$ vanishes,

$$\pi_1 \widetilde{\mathbf{M}} \pi_0 = 0, \quad (3.88)$$

as Φ_* is a solution to the equations of motion. We then have

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{M}} = \widetilde{Q} + \widetilde{m} \quad (3.89)$$

with

$$\widetilde{m} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \widetilde{m}_n. \quad (3.90)$$

We construct \widetilde{h} satisfying

$$\widetilde{Q} \widetilde{h} + \widetilde{h} \widetilde{Q} = \mathbb{I}, \quad \widetilde{h}^2 = 0, \quad (3.91)$$

and $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}$ satisfying

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}\tilde{\mathbf{h}} + \tilde{\mathbf{h}}\tilde{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathbf{I} - \pi_0, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{h}}\pi_0 = 0, \quad \pi_0\tilde{\mathbf{h}} = 0, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{h}}^2 = 0. \quad (3.92)$$

The operator \mathbf{H} is then given by

$$\mathbf{H} = e^{\Phi_*} \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \tilde{\mathbf{h}}\tilde{\mathbf{m}}} \tilde{\mathbf{h}} e^{-\Phi_*} \quad (3.93)$$

The formula for correlation functions is

$$\langle \Phi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \pi_n \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + i\tilde{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{1} = \pi_n e^{\Phi_*} \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \tilde{\mathbf{h}}\tilde{\mathbf{m}} + i\tilde{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{1}. \quad (3.94)$$

While the construction of \mathbf{H} is perturbative, this does not imply that the resulting correlation functions are perturbative, as we demonstrated in section 2.

We now have the formula for a given solution to the equations of motion. What does the formula describe? First, it reproduces perturbation theory around the solution Φ_* . Second, the solution Φ_* does not have to be real. Third, when the inverse of $\mathbf{I} + i\tilde{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{U}$ exists nonperturbatively, the Schwinger-Dyson equations are satisfied. We claim that the formula describes correlation functions on the *Lefschetz thimble* associated with the solution [20].

To explain Lefschetz thimbles in the case of scalar field theories in zero dimensions, let us replace the real variable φ of the action S by a complex variable z . Consider a flow $z(t)$ parametrized by t in the complex z plane which satisfies the downward flow equation:

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = i \frac{\partial \bar{S}}{\partial \bar{z}}, \quad \frac{d\bar{z}}{dt} = -i \frac{\partial S}{\partial z}. \quad (3.95)$$

Along the flow, the imaginary part of S increases as t increases:

$$\frac{d \operatorname{Im} S}{dt} = \frac{1}{2i} \left(\frac{dS}{dt} - \frac{d\bar{S}}{dt} \right) = \frac{1}{2i} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial z} \frac{dz}{dt} - \frac{\partial \bar{S}}{\partial \bar{z}} \frac{d\bar{z}}{dt} \right) = \left| \frac{\partial S}{\partial z} \right|^2 > 0. \quad (3.96)$$

A Lefschetz thimble associated with a solution z_* is defined by a submanifold of the z plane consisting of points that can be reached at any t by a flow that starts from z_* at $t = -\infty$. The path integral on a Lefschetz thimble is thus well defined.

Let us denote the Lefschetz thimble associated with z_i by \mathcal{J}_i , where i labels solutions. In general, the path integral over the real variable φ should be understood as being defined by the path integral on \mathcal{C} given by

$$\mathcal{C} = \sum_i n_i \mathcal{J}_i, \quad (3.97)$$

where n_i 's are integers and there is a procedure to determine n_i .

In section 2, we considered the action

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^2 - \frac{1}{4} \lambda \varphi^4 \quad (3.98)$$




Figure 3: The integration contour on the Lefschetz thimble associated with the solution $\varphi = 0$ to the equation of motion of φ^4 theory.

in the Lorentzian case. The solutions to the equation of motion are

$$\varphi = 0, \pm \frac{im}{\sqrt{\lambda}}, \quad (3.99)$$

which are depicted as red dots in figure 3. In this case, it is known that only the Lefschetz thimble associated with the trivial solution $\varphi = 0$ contributes, and that is why correlation functions were reproduced in subsection 2.2. We will present evidence that supports our claim for more nontrivial cases in the next section.

4 Evidence for the claim

4.1 Airy function

Consider the action given by

$$S = -a\varphi - \frac{1}{3}\varphi^3. \quad (4.1)$$

The partition function is given by

$$Z = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\varphi e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S}. \quad (4.2)$$

When we set $\hbar = 1$, this is expressed in terms of the Airy function of the first kind $\text{Ai}(a)$ as follows:

$$Z = 2\pi \text{Ai}(a). \quad (4.3)$$

The correlation functions are defined by

$$\langle \varphi^n \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\varphi \varphi^n e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S}. \quad (4.4)$$




Figure 4: The potential in the case where $a > 0$.

They can be calculated from the partition function as follows:

$$\langle \varphi^n \rangle = (i\hbar)^n \frac{1}{Z} \frac{d^n Z}{da^n}. \quad (4.5)$$

The equation of motion is given by

$$\varphi^2 + a = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

4.1.1 The case where $a > 0$

The potential when $a > 0$ is shown in figure 4. There are no real solutions to the equation of motion, and the complex solutions are

$$\varphi = \pm i\sqrt{a}. \quad (4.7)$$

It is known that only the Lefschetz thimble associated with the solution $\varphi = -i\sqrt{a}$ contributes in this case. Namely, this is an example where the theory consists of a single Lefschetz thimble associated with a nontrivial solution. The integration contour on the Lefschetz thimble associated with the solution $\varphi = -i\sqrt{a}$ is shown in figure 5.

Let us calculate correlation functions following the general procedure. We expand φ as

$$\varphi = -i\sqrt{a} + \tilde{\varphi}. \quad (4.8)$$

The action in terms of $\tilde{\varphi}$ is

$$S = \frac{2i}{3} a^{3/2} + i\sqrt{a} \tilde{\varphi}^2 - \frac{1}{3} \tilde{\varphi}^3. \quad (4.9)$$

The solution Φ_* is

$$\Phi_* = -i\sqrt{a}c, \quad (4.10)$$

and the associated coderivation Φ_* is

$$\Phi_* \mathbf{1} = -i\sqrt{a}c. \quad (4.11)$$




Figure 5: The integration contour on the Lefschetz thimble associated with the solution $\varphi = -i\sqrt{a}$.

The operators \tilde{Q} and \tilde{m}_2 are given by

$$\tilde{Q}c = -2i\sqrt{a}d, \quad \tilde{m}_2(c \otimes c) = d. \quad (4.12)$$

The contracting homotopy \tilde{h} is

$$\tilde{h}d = \frac{i}{2\sqrt{a}}c. \quad (4.13)$$

The formula for correlation functions is

$$\langle \Phi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \pi_n e^{\Phi_* \tilde{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{1}}, \quad (4.14)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{f}} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \tilde{\mathbf{h}} \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_2 + i\tilde{\mathbf{h}} \tilde{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{U}}. \quad (4.15)$$

The matrix forms of the operators $\tilde{\mathbf{h}} \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_2$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{U}$ are

$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}} \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_2 = \frac{i}{2\sqrt{a}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{U} = \frac{i}{2\sqrt{a}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.16)$$

The n -point functions with $n \leq 3$ are given by

$$\langle \varphi \rangle = -i\sqrt{a} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10}, \quad (4.17)$$

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle = -a - 2i\sqrt{a} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{20}, \quad (4.18)$$

$$\langle \varphi^3 \rangle = ia\sqrt{a} - 3a \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10} - 3i\sqrt{a} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{20} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{30}. \quad (4.19)$$

We calculate the right-hand side of each equation using (4.15) and compare it with the left-hand side calculated from (4.5).

Let us begin with the one-point function. For $a = 1$, we calculate $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10}$ with $N = 50$. We find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi \rangle &\simeq -1.17632196714 i, \\ -i\sqrt{a} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10} &\simeq -1.17632196731 i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

For $a = 2$, we again calculate $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10}$ with $N = 50$ and find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi \rangle &\simeq -1.5201633881848285 i, \\ -i\sqrt{a} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10} &\simeq -1.5201633881848252 i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

The coupling is weaker when a is larger, and we have found that the agreement is better for $a = 2$. Let us consider the case where the coupling is stronger. For $a = 0.1$, we calculate $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10}$ with $N = 50$ and find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi \rangle &\simeq -0.7811 i, \\ -i\sqrt{a} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10} &\simeq -0.7822 i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

The agreement is not so good, but it improves in the calculation with $N = 100$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi \rangle &\simeq -0.781069 i, \\ -i\sqrt{a} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10} &\simeq -0.781005 i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

The two-point function can be analytically obtained from the Schwinger-Dyson equation. We find

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle = -a. \quad (4.24)$$

We calculate $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{20}$ with $N = 50$ and find

$$-2i\sqrt{a} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{20} = 0 \quad (4.25)$$

for any a , which is consistent with (4.18).

The three-point function is related to the one-point function via the Schwinger-Dyson equation. For $a = 1$, we calculate $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{20}$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{30}$ with $N = 50$ and find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi^3 \rangle &\simeq 0.17632196714 i, \\ ia\sqrt{a} - 3a \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10} - 3i\sqrt{a} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{20} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{30} &\simeq 0.17632196731 i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$




Figure 6: The potential in the case where $a < 0$.

We conclude that the formula based on quantum A_∞ algebras reproduces the correlation functions in the path integral formalism with high precision in all cases. We consider this as nontrivial evidence for our claim when the theory consists of a single Lefschetz thimble associated with a nontrivial solution.

4.1.2 The case where $a < 0$

The potential when $a > 0$ is shown in figure 6. The solutions to the equation of motion are

$$\varphi = \pm\sqrt{-a}. \quad (4.27)$$

It is known that both of the two Lefschetz thimbles associated with the solutions $\varphi = -\sqrt{-a}$ and $\varphi = \sqrt{-a}$ contribute in this case. See figure 7 for the integration contours on these Lefschetz thimbles.

We denote the partition function associated with the solution $\varphi = -\sqrt{-a}$ by Z_- and the partition function associated with the solution $\varphi = \sqrt{-a}$ by Z_+ . We find that

$$Z_- = \pi (\text{Ai}(a) + i \text{Bi}(a)), \quad Z_+ = \pi (\text{Ai}(a) - i \text{Bi}(a)), \quad (4.28)$$

where $\text{Bi}(a)$ is the Airy function of the second kind. We denote the n -point function of the theory Z_- by $\langle \varphi^n \rangle_-$ and the n -point function of the theory Z_+ by $\langle \varphi^n \rangle_+$. The partition function Z of the full theory is

$$Z = Z_- + Z_+ = 2\pi \text{Ai}(a), \quad (4.29)$$

and the n -point function of the full theory $\langle \varphi^n \rangle$ is given by

$$\langle \varphi^n \rangle = \frac{Z_-}{Z_- + Z_+} \langle \varphi^n \rangle_- + \frac{Z_+}{Z_- + Z_+} \langle \varphi^n \rangle_+. \quad (4.30)$$




Figure 7: The left figure shows the integration contour on the Lefschetz thimble associated with the solution $\varphi = -\sqrt{-a}$. The right figure shows the integration contour on the Lefschetz thimble associated with the solution $\varphi = \sqrt{-a}$.

Let us calculate the correlation functions from the formula based on quantum A_∞ algebras. We expand φ as

$$\varphi = \pm\sqrt{-a} + \tilde{\varphi}. \quad (4.31)$$

The action in terms of $\tilde{\varphi}$ is

$$S = \pm \frac{2}{3} (-a)^{3/2} \mp \sqrt{-a} \tilde{\varphi}^2 - \frac{1}{3} \tilde{\varphi}^3. \quad (4.32)$$

The solution Φ_* is

$$\Phi_* = \pm \sqrt{-a} c, \quad (4.33)$$

and the associated coderivation Φ_* is

$$\Phi_* \mathbf{1} = \pm \sqrt{-a} c. \quad (4.34)$$

The operators \tilde{Q} and \tilde{m}_2 are given by

$$\tilde{Q} c = \pm 2\sqrt{-a} d, \quad \tilde{m}_2(c \otimes c) = d, \quad (4.35)$$

and the contracting homotopy \tilde{h} is

$$\tilde{h} d = \pm \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-a}} c. \quad (4.36)$$

The formula for correlation functions is

$$\langle \Phi^{\otimes n} \rangle_{\pm} = \pi_n e^{\Phi_*} \tilde{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{1}, \quad (4.37)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{f}} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \tilde{\mathbf{h}} \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_2 + i\hbar \tilde{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{U}}. \quad (4.38)$$

The matrix forms of the operators $\tilde{\mathbf{h}} \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_2$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{U}$ are

$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}} \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_2 = \pm \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-a}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{U} = \pm \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-a}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.39)$$

The n -point functions with $n \leq 3$ are given by

$$\langle \varphi \rangle_{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{-a} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10}, \quad (4.40)$$

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle_{\pm} = -a \pm 2\sqrt{-a} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{20}, \quad (4.41)$$

$$\langle \varphi^3 \rangle_{\pm} = \mp a\sqrt{-a} - 3a \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10} \pm 3\sqrt{-a} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{20} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{30}. \quad (4.42)$$

Let us calculate the one-point function and the three-point function for each Lefschetz thimble. For the Lefschetz thimble associated with the solution $\varphi = -\sqrt{a}$, we find for $a = 1$ with $N = 50$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi \rangle_- &\simeq -1.06944263 + 0.18869689i, \\ -\sqrt{-a} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10} &\simeq -1.06944243 + 0.18869651i \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi^3 \rangle_- &\simeq -1.06944263 - 0.81130311i, \\ a\sqrt{-a} - 3a \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10} - 3\sqrt{-a} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{20} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{30} &\simeq -1.06944243 - 0.81130349i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

For the Lefschetz thimble associated with the solution $\varphi = \sqrt{a}$, we find for $a = 1$ with $N = 50$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi \rangle_+ &\simeq 1.06944263 + 0.18869689i, \\ \sqrt{-a} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10} &\simeq 1.06944243 + 0.18869651i \end{aligned} \quad (4.45)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi^3 \rangle_+ &\simeq 1.06944263 - 0.81130311i, \\ -a\sqrt{-a} - 3a \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10} + 3\sqrt{-a} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{20} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{30} &\simeq 1.06944243 - 0.81130349i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

The correlation functions in the path integral formalism are again reproduced from the formula based on quantum A_{∞} algebras with high precision in all cases. This is an example




Figure 8: The double-well potential.

where there are multiple real solutions to the equation of motion, and we found that the formula gives different results for different solutions. The results are consistent with our claim that the formula gives the correlation functions on the Lefschetz thimble associated with the solution we chose.

Since the correlation functions on each Lefschetz thimble are reproduced, the correlation functions of the full theory given by

$$\langle \varphi^n \rangle = \frac{Z_-}{Z_- + Z_+} \langle \varphi^n \rangle_- + \frac{Z_+}{Z_- + Z_+} \langle \varphi^n \rangle_+ \quad (4.47)$$

are reproduced if we know the ratio of the partition functions Z_- and Z_+ . We will describe the method of expressing ratios of partition functions in terms of quantum A_∞ algebras in a forthcoming paper [21].

4.2 The double-well potential

In subsection 2.2, we considered φ^4 theory with the action given by

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^2 - \frac{1}{4} \lambda \varphi^4. \quad (4.48)$$

Let us consider the theory with the double-well potential. See figure 8. The action is given by

$$S = \frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^2 - \frac{1}{4} \lambda \varphi^4. \quad (4.49)$$

We set $m^2 = 1$. The equation of motion is given by

$$\varphi - \lambda \varphi^3 = 0, \quad (4.50)$$

and the solutions are

$$\varphi = 0, \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}. \quad (4.51)$$




Figure 9: The integration contours on the Lefschetz thimbles associated with the three solutions.

It is known that all of the three Lefschetz thimbles associated with the three solutions contribute in this case. See figure 9 for the integration contours on these Lefschetz thimbles.

We denote the partition function associated with the solution $\varphi = -1/\sqrt{\lambda}$ by Z_- , the partition function associated with the solution $\varphi = 0$ by Z_0 , and the partition function associated with the solution $\varphi = 1/\sqrt{\lambda}$ by Z_+ . The partition function Z of the full theory is

$$Z = Z_- + Z_0 + Z_+, \quad (4.52)$$

and the n -point function of the full theory $\langle \varphi^n \rangle$ is given by

$$\langle \varphi^n \rangle = \frac{Z_-}{Z_- + Z_0 + Z_+} \langle \varphi^n \rangle_- + \frac{Z_0}{Z_- + Z_0 + Z_+} \langle \varphi^n \rangle_0 + \frac{Z_+}{Z_- + Z_0 + Z_+} \langle \varphi^n \rangle_+. \quad (4.53)$$

Let us calculate the correlation functions from the formula based on quantum A_∞ algebras for each Lefschetz thimble. For the Lefschetz thimble associated with the solution $\varphi = 0$, we do not need to shift the original field φ . The operator Q and m_3 are given by

$$Qc = -d, \quad m_3(c \otimes c \otimes c) = \lambda d, \quad (4.54)$$

and the contracting homotopy h is given by

$$hd = -c. \quad (4.55)$$

Then the correlation functions are given by

$$\langle \Phi^\otimes \rangle_0 = \pi_n \mathbf{f} \mathbf{1}, \quad (4.56)$$

where

$$\mathbf{f} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \hbar \mathbf{m}_3 + i\hbar \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}}. \quad (4.57)$$

The matrix forms of the operators $\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3$ and $\mathbf{h} \mathbf{U}$ are

$$\mathbf{h} \mathbf{m}_3 = -\lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{h} \mathbf{U} = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.58)$$

and the n -point function is given by

$$\langle \varphi^n \rangle = \mathbf{f}_{n0}. \quad (4.59)$$

The one-point function $\langle \varphi \rangle$ vanishes because of the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry:

$$\langle \varphi \rangle_0 = 0. \quad (4.60)$$

We calculate \mathbf{f}_{10} with $N = 100$ to find

$$\mathbf{f}_{10} = 0 \quad (4.61)$$

for any λ , which is consistent with $\langle \varphi \rangle_0 = 0$. For the two-point function $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle_0$, we choose $\lambda = 1$ and calculate \mathbf{f}_{20} with $N = 100$ to find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi^2 \rangle_0 &\simeq -0.2598 + 0.4376 i, \\ \mathbf{f}_{20} &\simeq -0.2608 + 0.4391 i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.62)$$

Let us next consider the Lefschetz thimbles associated with the solutions $\varphi = \pm 1/\sqrt{\lambda}$. We expand φ as

$$\varphi = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \tilde{\varphi}. \quad (4.63)$$

The action in terms of $\tilde{\varphi}$ is given by

$$S = \frac{1}{4\lambda} - \tilde{\varphi}^2 \mp \sqrt{\lambda} \tilde{\varphi}^3 - \frac{\lambda}{4} \tilde{\varphi}^4. \quad (4.64)$$

The solution Φ_* is

$$\Phi_* = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} c, \quad (4.65)$$

and the associated coderivation Φ_* is

$$\Phi_* \mathbf{1} = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} c. \quad (4.66)$$

The operators \tilde{Q} , \tilde{m}_2 and \tilde{m}_3 are given by

$$\tilde{Q} c = 2d, \quad \tilde{m}_2(c \otimes c) = \pm 3\sqrt{\lambda} d, \quad \tilde{m}_3(c \otimes c \otimes c) = \lambda d, \quad (4.67)$$

and the contracting homotopy \tilde{h} is given by

$$\tilde{h}d = \frac{1}{2}c. \quad (4.68)$$

The formula for correlation functions is

$$\langle \Phi^{\otimes n} \rangle_{\pm} = \pi_n e^{\Phi^*} \tilde{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{1}, \quad (4.69)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{f}} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + \tilde{\mathbf{h}} \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_2 + \tilde{\mathbf{h}} \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_3 + i \tilde{\mathbf{h}} \tilde{\mathbf{U}}}. \quad (4.70)$$

The matrix forms of the operators $\tilde{\mathbf{h}} \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_2$, $\tilde{\mathbf{h}} \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_3$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{h}} \tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{h}} \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_2 &= \pm \frac{3\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{h}} \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_3 = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{h}} \tilde{\mathbf{U}} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.71)$$

The one-point function and the two-point function are given by

$$\langle \varphi \rangle_{\pm} = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10}, \quad (4.72)$$

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \pm \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{20}. \quad (4.73)$$

For the Lefschetz thimble associated with the solution $\varphi = 1/\sqrt{\lambda}$, we find for $\lambda = 1$ with $N = 30$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi \rangle_+ &\simeq 1.204933 + 0.26012i, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10} &\simeq 1.204981 + 0.26008i, \end{aligned} \quad (4.74)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi \rangle_+^2 &\simeq 1.25976 + 0.43764i, \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{20} &\simeq 1.25981 + 0.43758i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.75)$$

For the Lefschetz thimble associated with the solution $\varphi = -1/\sqrt{\lambda}$, we find for $\lambda = 1$ with $N = 30$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi \rangle_- &\simeq -1.204933 - 0.26012i, \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10} &\simeq -1.204981 - 0.26008i, \end{aligned} \quad (4.76)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi^2 \rangle_- &\simeq 1.25976 + 0.43764i, \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{10} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{20} &\simeq 1.25981 + 0.43758i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.77)$$

We again found that the correlation functions in the path integral formalism are reproduced from the formula based on quantum A_∞ algebras with high precision in all cases, and the results are consistent with our claim that the formula gives the correlation functions on the Lefschetz thimble associated with the solution we chose. The n -point function of the full theory,

$$\langle \varphi^n \rangle = \frac{Z_-}{Z_- + Z_0 + Z_+} \langle \varphi^n \rangle_- + \frac{Z_0}{Z_- + Z_0 + Z_+} \langle \varphi^n \rangle_0 + \frac{Z_+}{Z_- + Z_0 + Z_+} \langle \varphi^n \rangle_+, \quad (4.78)$$

is reproduced if we know the ratios of the partition functions Z_- , Z_0 , and Z_+ .

5 Conclusions and discussion

In this paper, we presented a new form of the formula for correlation functions based on quantum A_∞ algebras which does not involve the division of the free part and the interaction part. For the theory described by the coderivation \mathbf{M} , the formula associated with a solution Φ_* described by the coderivation Φ_* is given by

$$\langle \Phi^{\otimes n} \rangle = \pi_n \frac{1}{\mathbf{I} + i\hbar \mathbf{H} \mathbf{U}} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{1}, \quad (5.1)$$

where \mathbf{H} satisfies

$$\mathbf{M} \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{H} \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}, \quad \mathbf{H} \mathbf{P} = 0, \quad \mathbf{P} \mathbf{H} = 0, \quad \mathbf{H}^2 = 0 \quad (5.2)$$

with

$$\mathbf{P} = e^{\Phi_*} \pi_0. \quad (5.3)$$

We claim that the formula describes correlation functions on the Lefschetz thimble associated with the solution Φ_* , and we presented evidence that the formula contains nonperturbative information on correlation functions in the case of scalar field theories in zero dimensions.

As we mentioned at the end of subsection 3.3, the integration contour \mathcal{C} of the path integral should be understood as a linear combination of Lefschetz thimbles \mathcal{J}_i :

$$\mathcal{C} = \sum_i n_i \mathcal{J}_i, \quad (5.4)$$

where i labels solutions and n_i 's are integers. While there is a procedure to determine n_i in the path integral formalism, we have not found a principle to choose appropriate Lefschetz thimbles in the language of A_∞ algebras. Since this is related to unitarity, it is crucially important to find the corresponding principle in the description in terms of homotopy algebras.

Furthermore, the correlation functions of the full theory is given by a linear combination of correlation functions on Lefschetz thimbles, and contributions from different Lefschetz thimbles are weighted by partition functions. We will describe a method to calculate ratios of partition functions based on quantum A_∞ algebras in a forthcoming paper [21], and the correlation functions of the full theory are reproduced without using the path integral. However, we have not understood the reason why we should use partition functions from the viewpoint of homotopy algebras, and this would be another key question for further development.

Finally, we are hoping that the formula for correlation functions based on quantum A_∞ algebras in higher dimensions also contains nonperturbative information. We can implement the renormalization group by decomposing the operator \mathbf{f} into a product of operators for different energy scales [26], and we hope that we can define correlation functions nonperturbatively using this when there is an ultraviolet fixed point. Our ambitious goal is then to extend our discussion to open superstring field theory and define string theory nonperturbatively.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Yoshio Kikukawa for helpful discussions on Lefschetz thimbles. K. K. would also like to thank Yuji Ando and Jojiro Totsuka-Yoshinaka for discussions.

References

- [1] C. de Lacroix, H. Erbin, S. P. Kashyap, A. Sen and M. Verma, “Closed Superstring Field Theory and its Applications,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **32**, no.28n29, 1730021 (2017) [arXiv:1703.06410 [hep-th]].
- [2] Y. Okawa, “Nonperturbative definition of closed string theory via open string field theory,” based on the talk at *International Conference on String Field Theory and String Perturbation Theory* held at The Galileo Galilei Institute for Theoretical Physics (Florence, May of 2019) [arXiv:2006.16449 [hep-th]].
- [3] J. D. Stasheff, “Homotopy associativity of H -spaces. I,” *Trans. of the Amer. Math. Soc.* **108**, 275 (1963).

- [4] J. D. Stasheff, “Homotopy associativity of H -spaces. II,” Trans. of the Amer. Math. Soc. **108**, 293 (1963).
- [5] E. Getzler and J. D. S. Jones, “ A_∞ -algebras and the cyclic bar complex,” Illinois J. Math **34**, 256 (1990).
- [6] M. Markl, “A cohomology theory for $A(m)$ -algebras and applications,” J. Pure Appl. Algebra **83**, 141 (1992).
- [7] M. Penkava and A. S. Schwarz, “ A_∞ algebras and the cohomology of moduli spaces,” hep-th/9408064.
- [8] M. R. Gaberdiel and B. Zwiebach, “Tensor constructions of open string theories. 1: Foundations,” Nucl. Phys. **B505**, 569 (1997) [hep-th/9705038].
- [9] B. Zwiebach, “Closed string field theory: Quantum action and the Batalin-Vilkovisky master equation,” Nucl. Phys. B **390**, 33-152 (1993) [arXiv:hep-th/9206084 [hep-th]].
- [10] M. Markl, “Loop homotopy algebras in closed string field theory,” Commun. Math. Phys. **221**, 367-384 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/9711045 [hep-th]].
- [11] A. S. Arvanitakis, O. Hohm, C. Hull and V. Lekeu, “Homotopy Transfer and Effective Field Theory I: Tree-level,” Fortsch. Phys. **70**, no.2-3, 2200003 (2022) [arXiv:2007.07942 [hep-th]].
- [12] A. S. Arvanitakis, O. Hohm, C. Hull and V. Lekeu, “Homotopy Transfer and Effective Field Theory II: Strings and Double Field Theory,” Fortsch. Phys. **70**, no.2-3, 2200004 (2022) [arXiv:2106.08343 [hep-th]].
- [13] A. Sen, “Wilsonian Effective Action of Superstring Theory,” JHEP **01**, 108 (2017) [arXiv:1609.00459 [hep-th]].
- [14] H. Erbin, C. Maccaferri, M. Schnabl and J. Vošmera, “Classical algebraic structures in string theory effective actions,” JHEP **11**, 123 (2020) [arXiv:2006.16270 [hep-th]].
- [15] D. Koyama, Y. Okawa and N. Suzuki, “Gauge-invariant operators of open bosonic string field theory in the low-energy limit,” [arXiv:2006.16710 [hep-th]].
- [16] Y. Okawa, “Correlation functions of scalar field theories from homotopy algebras,” JHEP **05**, 040 (2024) [arXiv:2203.05366 [hep-th]].
- [17] K. Konosu and Y. Okawa, “Correlation functions involving Dirac fields from homotopy algebras I: the free theory,” [arXiv:2305.11634 [hep-th]].
- [18] K. Konosu, “Correlation functions involving Dirac fields from homotopy algebras II: the interacting theory,” [arXiv:2305.13103 [hep-th]].
- [19] K. Konosu and J. T. Yoshinaka, “Noether’s theorem and Ward-Takahashi identities from homotopy algebras,” [arXiv:2405.09243 [hep-th]].
- [20] E. Witten, “Analytic Continuation Of Chern-Simons Theory,” AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. **50**, 347-446 (2011) [arXiv:1001.2933 [hep-th]].

- [21] K. Konosu and Y. Okawa, *in preparation*.
- [22] I. A. Batalin and G. A. Vilkovisky, “Gauge Algebra and Quantization,” Phys. Lett. B **102**, 27-31 (1981).
- [23] I. A. Batalin and G. A. Vilkovisky, “Quantization of Gauge Theories with Linearly Dependent Generators,” Phys. Rev. D **28**, 2567-2582 (1983) [erratum: Phys. Rev. D **30**, 508 (1984)].
- [24] A. S. Schwarz, “Geometry of Batalin-Vilkovisky quantization,” Commun. Math. Phys. **155**, 249-260 (1993) [arXiv:hep-th/9205088 [hep-th]].
- [25] T. Erler, “Relating Berkovits and A_∞ superstring field theories; small Hilbert space perspective,” JHEP **10**, 157 (2015) [arXiv:1505.02069 [hep-th]].
- [26] Y. Okawa, *in progress*.