

Local Adjoint for Simultaneous Preaccumulations with Shared Inputs

Johannes Blühdorn*

Nicolas R. Gauger*

Abstract

In shared-memory parallel automatic differentiation, shared inputs among simultaneous thread-local preaccumulations lead to data races if Jacobians are accumulated with a single, shared vector of adjoint variables. In this work, we discuss the benefits and tradeoffs of re-enabling such preaccumulations by a transition to suitable local adjoint variables. In particular, we assess the performance of mapped local adjoints in discrete adjoint computations in the multiphysics simulation suite SU2.

1 Introduction

The multiphysics simulation suite SU2 [8] features discrete adjoints by means of operator overloading automatic differentiation (AD) [1]. To this end, the AD tool CoDiPack [25] has been applied to SU2, together with MeDiPack [27] for the differentiation of MPI parallelism [21]. SU2 implements a reverse accumulation AD workflow [7] that makes heavy use of preaccumulation to reduce the memory consumed by tapes [2]. In the course of [12], the MPI parallelism of SU2’s primal solvers was extended by an additional layer of OpenMP parallelism [23], allowing MPI-OpenMP hybrid parallel primal execution. By applying the OpDiLib tool for the differentiation of OpenMP [6], we recently complemented this by support for hybrid parallel discrete adjoints [5]. As part of this, we observed data races for simultaneous thread-local preaccumulations with shared inputs, and therefore resorted to disabling preaccumulations in the presence of sharing. As the performance studies conducted in [5] show that this has a significant impact on the memory consumption and tape evaluation performance, we identified preaccumulation in the presence of sharing as one of the key targets for improvement of the hybrid parallel discrete adjoint performance in SU2. This work continues the research conducted in [5] by exploring the usage of local adjoint variables to perform simultaneous preaccumulations with shared inputs in a race-free manner.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we identify and characterize the data race that arises in simultaneous preaccumulations with shared in-

puts, and suggest local adjoint variables as a promising solution. We discuss implementation approaches for local adjoint variables in Section 3. In Section 4, we briefly discuss implementational aspects in CoDiPack and SU2, and continue with detailed performance studies that demonstrate the tradeoffs and benefits of re-enabled preaccumulations in SU2. We conclude our work in Section 5.

To begin with, we briefly summarize the essentials of the reverse mode of AD, challenges in the transition to shared-memory parallel AD, aspects of tape-based operator overloading implementations, and the idea of preaccumulation. As detailed in comprehensive introductions to AD like [13] or [22], the reverse mode of AD transforms a computer program F with inputs x and outputs y so that it computes in addition to the input-output relation $y = F(x)$ also the derivative relation

$$(1.1) \quad \bar{x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} F(x)^T \bar{y},$$

evaluated at x according to provided seeds \bar{y} . The derivative is obtained according to the chain rule from known derivatives of the elementary operations that constitute F . Each primal statement

$$(1.2) \quad w = \phi(u_1, \dots, u_k)$$

leads to an update on adjoint variables (indicated by the bar)

$$(1.3) \quad \bar{u}_i += \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \phi(u_1, \dots, u_k) \bar{w} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k,$$

followed by $\bar{w} = 0$. The adjoint updates depend on information that is only available after the primal computation, and compared to the primal data flow, the data flow on adjoint variables is reversed. Therefore, adjoint updates can only be performed as soon as the structure of the computational graph is known, and until then, information from primal statements has to be either stored or later recomputed. The reversed data flow turns read operations on primal variables into write operations on adjoint variables, and vice versa. In a shared-memory parallel setting, this means that simultaneously executing primal statements with a shared variable u_i on their right hand sides produce

*Chair for Scientific Computing, University of Kaiserslautern-Landau (RPTU)

conflicting simultaneous updates on the adjoint variable \bar{u}_i . The known approaches to resolve these data races include atomic operations [10, 9, 16] and reductions [11, 18, 4, 17, 15].

Tape-based operator overloading implementations of AD like CoDiPack or ADOL-C [30] replace the floating point computation type by a custom type that pairs each primal value with an identifier. Overloads of the elementary operations for the custom type manage the identifiers [26], capture the computational graph in terms of these identifiers, and collect information from the primal statements (like the primal values u_i or the precomputed Jacobians $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}\phi(u_1, \dots, u_k)$) on a stack-like structure called tape (subsequently also referred to as recording). During derivative computations (subsequently also referred to as evaluation), the identifiers address into a vector of adjoint variables, thereby establishing the relation between primal and adjoint variables. Tapes are memory-intensive and can quickly exceed available memory capacities.

Preaccumulation aims at reducing this memory consumption and improves tape evaluation times at the same time. As explained e. g. in [13], if a computational subgraph P of the computer program has relatively few inputs and outputs compared to the number of intermediate nodes and edges, the precomputed Jacobian of P together with information on the inputs and outputs of P consumes less memory than the usual tape recording of P . Preaccumulation trades increased recording time (Jacobian computation) for reduced evaluation time (smaller tape), which is particular appealing in a reverse accumulation setting [7], where a tape is recorded once but evaluated multiple times. Successful applications of preaccumulation include precomputation of Jacobians in reverse mode on the statement level (the partials $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}\phi(u_1, \dots, u_k)$ in (1.3), noting that ϕ is usually composed of multiple elementary operations) [3, 24, 14], Jacobian computations of basic blocks in source transformation AD [29], and Jacobian computations of larger code sections that have been marked together with their inputs and outputs as targets for preaccumulation according to developer knowledge [20, 2]. The latter is the type of preaccumulation that we consider in this work. In operator overloading AD, the same facilities that perform the overall tape recording and evaluation can also be used for the assembly of Jacobians in local preaccumulations. CoDiPack, for instance, first records P in continuation of the already recorded program parts prior to P . The recording of P is subsequently evaluated, possibly multiple times, either with the forward or the reverse mode of AD depending on the number of inputs and outputs, until the full Jacobian of P is assembled. Finally, the recording of P is discarded and

replaced by the Jacobian together with information on the inputs and outputs of P . In particular, the associated tape evaluations use the same vector of adjoint variables that is also used to evaluate the final recording. In an implementation of shared-memory parallel AD that relies on a single, shared vector of adjoint variables, like CoDiPack together with OpDiLib, this introduces additional challenges for preaccumulations.

2 Preaccumulations with Shared Inputs

In this study, we consider unsynchronized simultaneous thread-local preaccumulations that share common input variables. We briefly motivate why this specific type of preaccumulation is of interest. In serial computer programs, there are usually no restrictions on the code that is preaccumulated. In a shared-memory parallel setting, however, simultaneously preaccumulated code sections in different threads could, for example, depend on each other via synchronization constructs, which would make it challenging (maybe impossible) to perform preaccumulations in a correct manner and to retain appropriate synchronization when embedding the Jacobians into the recording (to give an artificial example, consider a barrier directive that is only encountered by some threads inside preaccumulated code). It is clear that the shared-memory parallel setting requires some assumptions on the code that is preaccumulated, and specifically for OpenMP, a suitable default approach could be to forbid the use of OpenMP constructs inside preaccumulated code. Given that preaccumulation is usually applied to local pieces of code with clear input-output structure and no interdependencies, this does not seem to be a severe limitation in practice. The transition to hybrid parallel discrete adjoints in SU2, for instance, did not require revising preaccumulated code with respect to usage of OpenMP constructs [5]. In the absence of synchronization, assuming that the primal program is free of data races, the remaining interdependency between simultaneous preaccumulations are shared variables that are read but not written, that is, shared preaccumulation inputs. We remark that a data access pattern like this, that only allows shared inputs among threads, is a requirement in the differentiation approach for parallel regions and loops by Kowarz [18] and its implementation in ADOL-C [19].

The implementation of preaccumulation described in Section 1 extends readily to preaccumulation in a serial context where the preaccumulated code contains OpenMP parallel parts, provided that a differentiation logic for OpenMP is in place, including appropriate treatment for data races in the reverse pass. The approach also extends to simultaneous thread-local preaccumulations without interdependencies, with the caveat

that the vector of adjoint variables is a shared resource that needs to be managed carefully. As the recording proceeds, new identifiers are created, and the vector of adjoint variables has to be resized accordingly prior to tape evaluations. Simultaneous preaccumulations therefore have to ensure mutual exclusion between evaluations and resizing. This is achieved by a shared mutex, and the corresponding locking can limit the scalability of simultaneous preaccumulations.

Consider two simultaneous preaccumulations that depend on each other via a shared input variable v . A computation of the Jacobian with the reverse mode of AD attempts to accumulate Jacobian entries in the adjoint variable \bar{v} that is associated with v ; a computation of the Jacobian with the forward mode of AD attempts to set a seed $\bar{v} = 1$ followed by a reset $\bar{v} = 0$ after the tape evaluation. Either combination of modes for simultaneous unsynchronized tape evaluations in different threads (both threads forward; both threads reverse; one forward, one reverse) leads to data races on the single, shared adjoint variable \bar{v} . Like the data races in the reverse pass described in Section 1, these races originate from non-exclusive read access. Unlike the data races in the reverse pass, however, these races are not resolved by mechanisms that perform modifications of \bar{v} in a race-free manner. To give an example for both threads using the reverse mode, even if updates on \bar{v} were performed atomically, simultaneous tape evaluations would still combine unrelated Jacobian entries in \bar{v} , and the absence of synchronization between simultaneous preaccumulations and the multiple tape evaluations performed in the course of them would actually change the content of \bar{v} in an unpredictable manner. Instead, our goal is to avoid the mixture of contributions from different threads entirely.

In addition to the usual benefits in terms of memory consumption and evaluation performance that we expect from preaccumulation, finding ways to enable preaccumulations with shared inputs seems particularly attractive in a shared-memory setting. Due to the non-exclusive read access, the non-preaccumulated recordings would have to be evaluated with mitigations for data races in the reverse pass, like atomic updates, at suboptimal performance. With preaccumulation, on the other hand, these atomic operations are eliminated from the tape, so that the expected performance benefit for the tape evaluation is larger.

Looking for ways to resolve the identified data races in an automatic manner, we first note that approaches that retain the shared vector of adjoint variables and only modify the identifiers of preaccumulation inputs do not seem not to achieve this. We cannot change the identifier of a shared preaccumulation input itself, as

this, too, would lead to a data race if multiple threads attempted it at the same time. While creating local copies of variables prior to registration as preaccumulation inputs would result in different identifiers and avoid the data race in the shared vector of adjoint variables, this is not always feasible in practice. The preaccumulated code would only be allowed to refer to the respective variable via its local copy, and neither the corresponding code modifications nor the copy itself can be performed automatically.

A suitable remedy is found in the transition to multiple, thread-local collections of adjoint variables (in the notation above, each thread has its own copy of \bar{v}). This modification only affects the internal evaluation routines in the AD tool and does not affect the preaccumulated code at all. As additional benefits that could also be of interest for simultaneous preaccumulations without shared inputs, not using a shared vector of adjoint variables eliminates the bottleneck of locking and resizing, while at the same time improving memory locality.

3 Preaccumulation with Local Adjoints

Several possibilities for an implementation of local adjoint variables come to mind, with tradeoffs in terms of memory and runtime. Let t denote the number of threads. We consider the program state after a thread has finished recording a program section P that should be preaccumulated. Let i_{\max} denote the largest identifier created so far. Note that $i_{\max} + 1$ is the required size of the shared vector of adjoint variables. Let n_p denote the number of identifiers used in the recording of P (including input identifiers), and let p_{\min} and p_{\max} denote the minimum and maximum among those, respectively. We need to find ways to create n_p adjoint variables that are accessible with the n_p identifiers used in the recording of P .

Inspired by the reduction approach for resolving data races in the reverse pass, we could duplicate the shared vector of adjoint variables, and perform the evaluations for preaccumulation on a thread-local copy. While this guarantees $\mathcal{O}(1)$ access times for adjoint variables, it introduces a $\mathcal{O}(i_{\max})$ overhead in terms of memory consumption and memory (re)allocations. Since n_p is usually much smaller than i_{\max} , the majority of the thus created local adjoint variables is not needed, which seems wasteful. Furthermore, all threads may perform local preaccumulations simultaneously, so that this overhead is multiplied by a factor of t . Note that, while thread-local vectors of adjoint variables are only needed temporarily, preaccumulations may occur arbitrarily late in the overall recording, so that the thread-local vectors' memory consumption essentially adds to

the memory consumption of the full tape. Especially for large t , this does not only counteract a primary objective of preaccumulation: reducing the memory consumption. It also counteracts improvements that we expect in terms of memory usage by a transition from pure MPI to a combination of MPI and OpenMP, which we demonstrated in [5].

If we determine p_{\min} and p_{\max} , either alongside the recording of P or by preprocessing the recording of P , we can resort to allocating a local vector of adjoint variables with only $p_{\max} - p_{\min} + 1$ entries, and translate identifiers to this range during tape evaluations by subtracting the offset p_{\min} . This retains the $\mathcal{O}(1)$ access times for adjoint variables, but the memory consumption depends on the distance of p_{\min} and p_{\max} . In the best case, in which the identifiers used in the recording of P are contiguous, this reduces the memory overhead to $\mathcal{O}(n_p)$. In the worst case, however, $p_{\max} - p_{\min}$ can become as large as $i_{\max} - 1$, a scenario that is not unlikely to happen in practice. A variable that was registered early on as an AD input would have a small identifier but might later become an input to preaccumulations (a global parameter, for instance). On the other hand, the most recently recorded program section has likely created new identifiers, and those are usually the largest identifiers created so far.

A memory consumption of $\mathcal{O}(n_p)$ is achieved independently of the specific identifiers in the recording of P by using associative data structures for adjoint variables, at the price of increased access times. `std::map<int, double>`, for instance, has $\mathcal{O}(\log n_p)$ access times, and `std::unordered_map<int, double>` has $\mathcal{O}(1)$ average but $\mathcal{O}(n_p)$ worst case access times [28]. Since associative data structures can adapt their size automatically whenever accessed with a specific identifier for the first time, there is no need to preprocess the identifiers used in the recording of P . This approach seems well-aligned with the objectives of preaccumulation and appeals due to its flexibility and predictable performance. We therefore explore this approach further.

We remark that further improvements could be made by transitioning from mapped adjoints to remapping identifiers to contiguous ones, that then address into a classical array of adjoints. Note first that if we only remap the identifiers in the recording of P during tape evaluations, like we proposed above for adjoints, we expect similar or worse performance characteristics, due to an additional indirection: instead of loading an identifier and accessing a map of adjoints with it, we would load an identifier, access an analogously sized map of identifiers with it, to then access a local array of contiguous adjoints. However, if we instead preprocess and edit

the tape recorded for P once, and replace the identifiers in it by identifiers from a contiguous range with the help of the identifier map, each tape evaluation in the course of a single preaccumulation could then be performed with $\mathcal{O}(1)$ adjoint access times (array access) while retaining $\mathcal{O}(n_p)$ memory consumption (yet with a larger constant, due to having both the identifier map and the array of adjoints). The embedding of the Jacobian into the tape requires remapping to the non-contiguous identifiers. Since the preprocessing overhead is in the order of one evaluation of the recording of P with the mapped adjoints approach, runtime advantages over mapped adjoints can only be expected for preaccumulations with multiple tape evaluations. We have not explored this further yet. We remark that the reduction approach of [17] to resolve the data races in a shared-memory parallel reverse pass (the races explained in Section 1), too, preprocesses tapes and modifies the memory locations at which adjoint contributions are deposited, but in a different manner and with a different goal. For each adjoint variable, contiguous memory locations are pre-computed in which conflicting contributions are stored until they can be reduced safely.

4 Implementation and Benchmarking

CoDiPack already supports tape evaluations with custom vectors of adjoint variables. We extend this by capabilities to evaluate tapes on generalized collections of custom adjoint variables (in essence anything that can be accessed with `operator[]`). We implement mapped adjoint variables essentially as `std::map<int, double>`¹, and extend preaccumulations so that they can optionally use such local mapped adjoints for their evaluations. In SU2, we modify the behaviour of the code annotations by which we disabled preaccumulation in the presence of sharing, to perform preaccumulations with mapped adjoints instead.

We evaluate the performance of preaccumulations with local mapped adjoints in hybrid parallel discrete adjoint computations in SU2, in continuation of the performance studies conducted in [5], using the same test cases from external aerodynamics. To summarize, we work with a NACA 0012 geometry (75140 quadrilaterals) and an Onera M6 geometry (258969 tetrahedrons) for single-socket performance tests, and a mesh of the NASA Common Research Model (HL-CRM) for performance tests with multiple nodes (approx. 18 million cells and 8.3 million nodes, mixed element types). For each test case, we start with a converged primal flow solution and execute a fixed number of discrete adjoint iterations

¹In a preliminary comparison in the Onera M6 test case, `std::unordered_map<int, double>` tends to perform worse.




Figure 1: Single-socket NACA 0012 and Onera M6 tests. We showcase recording and evaluation times with different settings for preaccumulation, for serial execution and OpenMP parallel execution with 12 threads, including speedups relative to serial execution. Error bars indicate variation across runs.

according to SU2’s reverse accumulation AD workflow (300, 300, and 1000 iterations, respectively). To ensure that workloads across different parallel setups are comparable, special measures are in place, such as reduced tolerances to avoid early stopping and disabling of special behaviour for OpenMP parallel runs with a single thread. For further details, please refer to [5] or the accompanying SU2 branch².

We work with dual-socket Skylake nodes of the Elwetritsch cluster at the RPTU, that feature Intel Xeon Gold 6126 processors, each with a single NUMA domain consisting of twelve cores. We fix the clock frequency to 2.6 GHz. Within each job allocation, we perform a discarded warm-up run, followed by five benchmark runs whose timings are averaged and displayed together with their variation in our performance plots. For single-socket tests, we use one MPI process, bound to a single socket, with one to twelve OpenMP threads. For multi-node tests, we vary the number of nodes. We use two

MPI processes per node, each bound to one of the sockets, and twelve OpenMP threads per MPI process. We also include performance results from [5] that were obtained with a classical, MPI-only build of SU2, in which we used one MPI process per core, bound to the core’s socket. We build SU2 with GCC 11.3.

We compare four different ways to perform preaccumulation: disabling preaccumulations with shared inputs; performing preaccumulations with shared inputs on mapped local adjoints; performing all preaccumulations, also those without sharing, on mapped local adjoints; performing all preaccumulations on global adjoints in a classical, MPI-only build of SU2 that is not subject to the sharing issue.

Fig. 1 displays the influence of mapped local adjoints on the recording and evaluation performance of the single-socket tests. The trends are similar for both test cases. Considering the serial performance, we see that we trade increased recording time for reduced evaluation time. The significant recording overhead of performing all preaccumulations with mapped local adjoints confirms that this is not only due to re-enabling previously disabled preaccumulations, but also due to

²https://github.com/jblueh/SU2/tree/hybrid_parallel_discrete_adjoints, specifically https://github.com/jblueh/SU2/tree/hybrid_parallel_discrete_adjoints/benchmark





Figure 2: Memory consumption of the single-socket NACA 0012 and Onera M6 test cases with varying numbers of cores (left) as well as joint memory and runtime performance characteristics (right). We compare different settings for preaccumulation. We also include performance measurements obtained with a classical, MPI-only build of SU2.

overhead of maps compared to array-based approaches. If we use mapped local adjoints only for preaccumulations with shared inputs, not only do the improvements in the evaluation timings outweigh the overhead in the recording phase but the timings suggest that the overhead in the recording phase scales very well. We even observe improved recording times for the Onera M6 test case with twelve OpenMP threads. Both approaches with maps perform equally well in terms of evaluation times. We assess the time spent on preaccumulation-specific tasks in the Onera M6 test case in greater detail in Table 1. The increased recording time is due to re-enabled preaccumulations, and the evaluation times with mapped local adjoints confirm the very good scaling. Comparing the two strategies, we observe also reduced time spent on evaluations in the classical sense, even though the associated workloads (preaccumula-

strategy	thr.	rec.	eval.	eval.	total
			(class.)	(map)	
preaccs with sharing disabled	1	26.87	36.02	0	62.89
	12	7.52	27.94	0	35.46
preaccs with sharing mapped	1	30.45	26.73	77.65	134.83
	12	7.92	20.11	6.29	34.32

Table 1: Average time in seconds spent on preaccumulation-specific recordings and evaluations in the Onera M6 test case.

tions without sharing) are identical; we still investigate possible reasons, and whether this can be reproduced reliably.

The left part of Fig. 2 shows the memory consumption of the single-socket test cases with varying degrees




Figure 3: Recording and evaluation times of the HL-CRM test case on multiple nodes, with different settings for preaccumulation and various degrees of parallelism. Speedups are relative to the performance with 192 cores (eight nodes). Error bars indicate variation across runs.

of OpenMP parallelism. We see that both approaches with maps perform equally well at reducing the memory offset in the serial case, while preserving the rate by which memory usage grows with an increasing number of OpenMP threads. While twelve-fold parallelism was not sufficient for the hybrid build of SU2 with partially disabled preaccumulations to outperform the classical, MPI-only build in terms of memory usage in the Onera M6 test case, re-enabled preaccumulations achieve lower memory consumption at eight-fold parallelism already. The right part of Fig. 2 displays the tradeoffs in terms of memory consumption and combined recording and evaluation time with varying degrees of parallelism. By re-enabling preaccumulations with the help of mapped local adjoints, OpenMP becomes more attractive as an alternative to the classical, MPI-only approach in these test cases. In the NACA 0012 test case, eight-fold MPI parallelism no longer dominates larger degrees of OpenMP parallelism, and while all degrees of OpenMP parallelism were previously dominated by their MPI-parallel counterparts in the Onera M6 test case, larger degrees of parallelism now allow trading memory for runtime (notwithstanding, six-fold MPI parallelism still dominates higher degrees of OpenMP parallelism in this test case). Performing all preaccumulations with mapped local adjoints is subject to significant overheads in the recording phase but does not offer advantages in terms of memory usage or evaluation times compared to mapped local adjoints only for preaccumulations with shared inputs, so that we do not consider this approach in the multi-node performance

tests.

The corresponding performance results for the HL-CRM test case on multiple nodes are displayed in Figs. 3 and 4 and confirm the benefits of re-enabled preaccumulations observed in the single-socket tests, in particular the slightly reduced recording performance at twelve-fold OpenMP parallelism per MPI process, the notably improved evaluation performance, and the notably reduced memory consumption. Hybrid builds that re-enable preaccumulations with sharing by means of mapped local adjoints consume less memory than their MPI-parallel counterparts already at 192-fold parallelism (eight nodes), and due to improvements both in terms of memory and runtime, all displayed degrees of OpenMP parallelism are now Pareto-optimal compared to their MPI-parallel counterparts in the sense that none of the two performs better both in terms of memory and runtime.

5 Conclusion

In previous work, we identified data races in simultaneous preaccumulations with shared inputs in a shared-memory parallel recording, and resorted to disabling them. In this work, we elaborated on the nature of these data races and proposed to re-enable preaccumulations with shared inputs by a transition to local adjoint variables. In our performance tests, we explored an implementation with mapped local adjoint variables and demonstrated the benefits of this approach both in terms of memory and runtime in hybrid parallel discrete adjoint computations in SU2. This marks an additional




Figure 4: Memory consumption of the HL-CRM test case with varying numbers of nodes (left) as well as joint memory and runtime performance characteristics (right). We compare different settings for preaccumulation. We also include performance measurements obtained with a classical, MPI-only build of SU2.

step towards improved hybrid AD performance, and specifically in our SU2 test cases, helps to further close the performance gap between MPI-parallel and hybrid parallel discrete adjoint computations. Overheads in the recording phase can be compensated for by a sufficient number of faster tape evaluations in a reverse accumulation AD workflow, especially with multiple OpenMP threads since the additional thread-local workloads scale very well. It remains to be explored if the proposed extension with preprocessing and editing of tapes leads to further notable improvements.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge funding from the German National High Performance Computing (NHR) association for the Center NHR South-West.

References

- [1] T. ALBRING, M. SAGEBAUM, AND N. R. GAUGER, *Development of a Consistent Discrete Adjoint Solver in an Evolving Aerodynamic Design Framework*, in 16th AIAA/ISSMO Multidisciplinary Analysis and Optimization Conference, 2015.
- [2] —, *Efficient Aerodynamic Design using the Discrete Adjoint Method in SU2*, in 17th AIAA/ISSMO Multidisciplinary Analysis and Optimization Conference, 2016.
- [3] C. BISCHOF, A. CARLE, G. CORLISS, AND A. GRIEWANK, *ADIFOR: Automatic differentiation in a source translator environment*, in Papers from the International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, ISSAC '92, New York, NY, USA, 1992, Association for Computing Machinery, pp. 294–302.
- [4] C. BISCHOF, N. GUERTLER, A. KOWARZ, AND A. WALTHER, *Parallel reverse mode automatic differentiation for OpenMP programs with ADOL-C*, in Advances in Automatic Differentiation, C. H. Bischof, H. M. Bücker, P. Hovland, U. Naumann, and J. Utke, eds., Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany, 2008, Springer, pp. 163–173.
- [5] J. BLÜHDORN, P. GOMES, M. AEHLE, AND N. R. GAUGER, *Hybrid Parallel Discrete Adjoints in SU2*. [arXiv:2405.06056 \[cs.MS\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.06056), 2024.
- [6] J. BLÜHDORN, M. SAGEBAUM, AND N. R. GAUGER, *Event-Based Automatic Differentiation of OpenMP with OpDiLib*, ACM Trans. Math. Softw., 49 (2023), pp. 1–31.
- [7] B. CHRISTIANSON, *Reverse accumulation and attractive fixed points*, Optimization Methods and Software, 3 (1994), pp. 311–326.
- [8] T. D. ECONOMON, F. PALACIOS, S. R. COPELAND, T. W. LUKACZYK, AND J. J. ALONSO, *SU2: An Open-Source Suite for Multiphysics Simulation and Design*, AIAA Journal, 54 (2016), pp. 828–846.
- [9] M. FÖRSTER, *Algorithmic Differentiation of Pragma-Defined Parallel Regions*, Springer Vieweg, Wiesbaden, Germany, 2014. Ph.D. Dissertation. RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany.
- [10] M. FÖRSTER, U. NAUMANN, AND J. UTKE, *Toward Adjoint OpenMP*, Tech. Rep. AIB-2011-13, RWTH Aachen, Department of Computer Science, 2011.
- [11] R. GIERING, T. KAMINSKI, R. TODLING, R. ERRICO, R. GELARO, AND N. WINSLOW, *Tangent linear and adjoint versions of NASA/GMAO's Fortran 90 global weather forecast model*, in Automatic Differentiation: Applications, Theory, and Implementations,

- M. Bücker, G. Corliss, U. Naumann, P. Hovland, and B. Norris, eds., Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany, 2006, Springer, pp. 275–284.
- [12] P. GOMES, T. D. ECONOMON, AND R. PALACIOS, *Sustainable High-Performance Optimizations in SU2*, in AIAA Scitech 2021 Forum, 2021.
- [13] A. GRIEWANK AND A. WALTHER, *Evaluating Derivatives: Principles and Techniques of Algorithmic Differentiation*, vol. 105, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2008.
- [14] R. J. HOGAN, *Fast Reverse-Mode Automatic Differentiation using Expression Templates in C++*, ACM Trans. Math. Softw., 40 (2014).
- [15] J. HÜCKELHEIM AND L. HASCOËT, *Source-to-source automatic differentiation of OpenMP parallel loops*, ACM Trans. Math. Softw., 48 (2022).
- [16] J. HÜCKELHEIM, P. HOVLAND, M. M. STROUT, AND J.-D. MÜLLER, *Reverse-mode algorithmic differentiation of an OpenMP-parallel compressible flow solver*, Int. J. High Perform. Comput. Appl., 33 (2019), pp. 140–154.
- [17] T. KALER, T. B. SCHARDL, B. XIE, C. E. LEISERSON, J. CHEN, A. PAREJA, AND G. KOLLIAS, *PARAD: a work-efficient parallel algorithm for reverse-mode automatic differentiation*, in Symposium on Algorithmic Principles of Computer Systems (APOCS), Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2021, SIAM, pp. 144–158.
- [18] A. KOWARZ, *Advanced concepts for automatic differentiation based on operator overloading*, PhD thesis, Techn. Univ. Dresden, Dresden, Germany, 2008.
- [19] A. KOWARZ AND A. WALTHER, *Parallel Derivative Computation Using ADOL-C*, in Proceedings of the 9th workshop on parallel systems and algorithms (PASA), vol. 124 of Lecture Notes in Informatics, Gesellschaft für Informatik, 2008, pp. 83–92.
- [20] J. LOTZ, U. NAUMANN, AND J. UNGERMANN, *Hierarchical Algorithmic Differentiation A Case Study*, in Recent Advances in Algorithmic Differentiation, S. Forth, P. Hovland, E. Phipps, J. Utke, and A. Walther, eds., Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 187–196.
- [21] MESSAGE PASSING INTERFACE FORUM, *MPI: A Message-Passing Interface Standard Version 4.1*, Nov. 2023.
- [22] U. NAUMANN, *The Art of Differentiating Computer Programs*, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2011.
- [23] OPENMP ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD, *OpenMP Application Programming Interface Version 5.2*, 11 2021.
- [24] E. PHIPPS AND R. PAWLOWSKI, *Efficient expression templates for operator overloading-based automatic differentiation*, in Recent Advances in Algorithmic Differentiation, S. Forth, P. Hovland, E. Phipps, J. Utke, and A. Walther, eds., Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 309–319.
- [25] M. SAGEBAUM, T. ALBRING, AND N. R. GAUGER, *High-performance derivative computations using CoDi-Pack*, ACM Trans. Math. Softw., 45 (2019).
- [26] M. SAGEBAUM, J. BLÜHDORN, AND N. R. GAUGER, *Index handling and assign optimization for algorithmic differentiation reuse index managers*. arXiv:2006.12992 [cs.MS], 2020.
- [27] M. SAGEBAUM, J. BLÜHDORN, N. R. GAUGER, J. BACKHAUS, C. FREY, G. GEISER, F. BAYER, E. KÜGELER, C. BATTISTONI, AND M. NAGEL, *On recent developments for efficient turbomachinery design using algorithmic differentiation*, in Proceedings of 15th European Conference on Turbomachinery Fluid Dynamics & Thermodynamics (ETC15), no. ETC2023-346, 2023.
- [28] STEFANUS DU TOIT, *Working Draft, Standard for Programming Language C++*, International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland, Feb. 2012. Document number N3242=11-0012, draft for C++11.
- [29] J. UTKE, *Flattening basic blocks*, in Automatic Differentiation: Applications, Theory, and Implementations, M. Bücker, G. Corliss, U. Naumann, P. Hovland, and B. Norris, eds., Berlin, Heidelberg, 2006, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 121–133.
- [30] A. WALTHER, *Getting started with ADOL-C*, in Combinatorial Scientific Computing, U. Naumann, O. Schenk, H. D. Simon, and S. Toledo, eds., vol. 9061 of Dagstuhl Seminar Proceedings, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2009, Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik.